Cases Reported this Week.

Paper Bottle Co. (Lim.) ..... 787 | Ratcliff v. Swift 787

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, OCTOBER 13, 1888.

CURRENT TOPICS.

WE ARE GLAD to learn that Mr. Registrar KOE, who was absent on leave during a considerable part of the last sittings, believes that he will be able to resume his duties at the commencement of the Michaelmas Sittings.

IT IS UNDERSTOOD that the new building erected in Fleet-street adjacent to the Royal Courts, for the Law Courts Branch of the Bank of England, will be opened next month. Upon this event a suite of rooms in the Royal Courts, including one very large hall, will be vacated, and all court receipts and payments hitherto made at these rooms will take place at the branch bank in Fleet-street.

SIR JAMES HANNEN on Wednesday last declined to allow any of the applications then before him to stand over for a week, and postponed for a fortnight all such of them as for any reason could not then be heard. On Wednesday next, therefore, it is improbable that there were will be more than a few very urgent cases, and, if so, it is understood that his lordship will dispose of them at chambers.

THE CAUSE LISTS for the Michaelmas Sittings, which commence on the 24th inst., are not as yet published, but on inspection of the cause books it appears that the lists for the Court of Appeal will contain 195 appeals, and that those for the Chancery Division will contain 813 cases, of which 159 are before Mr. Justice KAY; 174 before Mr. Justice CHITTY; 205 before Mr. Justice NORTH, 173 before Mr. Justice STIRLING, and 102 before Mr. Justice KEKEWICH. No information as to the numbers in the lists in the other divisions of the High Court is as yet forthcoming.

IT WILL BE REMEMBERED that Sir JAMES HANNEN recently refused to discharge an order made by Mr. Justice DENMAN, on the ground that he had no power to do so; but he granted a further stay of execution to enable application to be made to the learned author of the order. If we are rightly informed, the subsequent proceedings in this case do infinite credit to the indefatigable ingenuity and perseverance of counsel and solicitors. It is stated that, in the first instance, an effort was made to draw Mr. Justice DENMAN from his profoundly rural retreat; this failing, recourse was again had to Sir JAMES HANNEN, who was asked to invite his learned brother to request him to hear the application; this application also was unsuccessful. The next step was a suggestion that the application should be left over to be heard by Mr. Justice KAY on his return, but ultimately, to the relief of all parties, a letter was received from Mr. Justice DENMAN arranging to hear the application on the 23rd inst., his power as Vacation Judge expiring with that day.

THE HOUSE OF LORDS in its appellate capacity will be no doubt now strengthened by the accession of Lord MONCRIEFF, on his resignation of the office of Lord Justice Clerk in Scotland. By section 5 of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876 (39 & 40 Vict. c. 59), a *quorum* for hearing appeals must be composed of at least three "Lords of Appeal," which designation is to include (1) the Lord Chancellor, (2) the Lords of Appeal in Ordinary to be appointed under the Act, and (3) "such peers of Parliament as are for the time being holding, or have held, any of the offices in this Act described as high judicial offices." Then, by section 25, "high judicial office" means the office of Lord Chancellor, or of paid judge of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, "or of judge of one of her Majesty's superior courts of Great Britain and Ireland"; and "superior courts of Great Britain and Ireland means and includes, . . . as to Scotland, the Court of Session." The only present representative in the House of Lords of the Scotch branch of the legal profession is Lord WATSON, who, like his predecessor, Lord GORDON, is an ex-Lord Advocate, and the last Scotch judge who heard appeals in the House of Lords was Lord COLONSAY, who was formerly Lord President of the Court of Session, but who, unlike Lord MONCRIEFF, was not raised to the peerage until after his retirement from the bench.

WE BELIEVE that one main object of the proposed new rules under the Land Transfer Act, 1875, is to simplify the process of registration with a possessory title, and that some such provision as the following is intended to be made—viz., that on an application for possessory title there must be left a declaration to the effect that the applicant is in possession or in receipt of the rents and profits of the land shewn on an accompanying map, and that he has power to dispose of such land for his own benefit (or otherwise, as the case may be); that it will not be necessary to state in the declaration whether the land is subject to any, or, if any, to what, incumbrances, conditions, or exceptions; but that, if a statement of such matters is made therein, the declaration will be filed and referred to on the register, and, further, that the last conveyance or document of title, if any, *in the possession of the applicant* must be left to be stamped with the notice of registration. We believe it has been urged that it is of great importance that the last conveyance or document of title, whether in the possession of the applicant or of his mortgagees or trustees, should be produced and marked, and that, if this precaution is not insisted on, great facilities for fraud will be afforded. We think that this will hardly be denied; but no doubt the difficulty is that a mortgagor can only obtain inspection of the deeds: he cannot compel his mortgagee to produce them, or allow them to be produced, at the office, and mortgagees will not, perhaps, be very ready to leave their deeds to be stamped. Probably, however, some system of production on the requisition of the Land Transfer Office might be devised which would obviate the objection of mortgagees to letting the deeds go out of their hands. With regard to absolute titles, we understand it is proposed that, before any statement of title is approved, a map of the land shall be prepared from sheets of the ordnance map by an officer of the registry or by such person as the registrar may authorize for that purpose (this is a very wide definition), and defining the boundaries of the property clearly by means of a red line round the outer edge. Such map will, when the registration is completed, be filed and become the registered map of the land. Before the registration is completed as an absolute or qualified title, the applicant and his solicitor, if any, must make a declaration to the effect that all deeds, writings, and instruments of title, and all charges and incumbrances affecting the title, and all facts material to the title, have been disclosed to the registrar, and that the map and verbal description contain a true and proper description of the land, and that the actual possession or receipt of the rents and profits of the land is in accordance with the title of the applicant as deduced to the registrar. In any case where the land comprised in the application has been sold under an order of the court, or where the title appears to the registrar to have been duly investigated on a recent dealing for value, or though not deduced for the full period to be a good holding title, the proceedings may be modified in such manner as the registrar may think fit. In any case the registrar may, if he think fit, reduce the period of three months prescribed in the 10th and 11th of the rules of the 24th of December, 1875. We believe it has been urged that, bearing in mind that under the Act of 1875 registration with an absolute title confers an indefeasible title and confiscates without compensation any estate or interest which may have been overlooked or disregarded, much greater strictness in the investigation of the title is necessary than would in ordinary cases be requisite, and that it is very doubtful whether the relaxations contemplated by the rules should be granted.

MR. HORACE SMITH, the Dalston police magistrate, appears to have objected to tell an applicant whether she was obliged to take her rent to her landlord or whether it was for him to fetch it,

The learned magistrate is stated to have remarked that it was "very funny" that he should be asked such a question, but we rather fail to see the joke. Possibly the applicant may have thought that the joint author of a work on the law of landlord and tenant was not an unlikely person to answer the question. The really funny thing is that, while protesting against the question, the magistrate volunteered an answer to another question which was not put, and such answer, although wrong as to the question not put, was probably right in its indication of the place where the applicant's rent should be paid; and so, by a happy accident, the applicant was not sent away empty or under a delusion. The magistrate is reported to have said that "with regard to the rent, if it was carried to strict law, and if a distress was to follow, the demand for payment would have to be made on the premises." Where did Mr. Horace Smith discover that any demand for rent by the landlord is essential before or during a distress? The general opinion is that it is for the tenant to tender the rent if he wishes to stop the distress, not for the landlord to demand it. Probably the learned magistrate was thinking of the demand of rent which, in the absence of express stipulation, is necessary before re-entry for non-payment of rent. But, as we have said, the answer did not fit the question; the applicant did not want to know what was to be done upon a distress, but what is the general rule of law as to the place at which rent must be paid, in the absence of express agreement. The rule on this subject has been established for a fairly long period, and by respectable authority. There is a case known as *Boroughes's case*, decided in the 38th year of the reign of Queen Elizabeth, and reported by a lawyer of some repute, Sir Edward Coke, in which it is laid down (4 Rep., at p. 73a) that "as the law has appointed the receipt of the exchequer to be the place where the King's revenues shall be received, so in the case of a common person, the law has appointed a place where his rent (if no other place by mutual agreement of the parties be appointed) shall be paid, and that is upon the land demised." But this is subject to the qualification that if the tenant has expressly covenanted to pay rent, it is his duty to seek out the person to whom the rent is to be paid, and to pay it or tender it to him on the appointed day (*Haldane* v. *Johnson*, 8 Ex. 689, 695). It does not seem probable that the applicant in the recent case would have executed any lease by deed, or perhaps even any written agreement; if so, she has not covenanted to pay her rent, and is apparently entitled to trouble her landlord to fetch it, he being presumably *intra quatuor maria*.

The decision of Sir James Hannen, which we report elsewhere, with regard to a solicitor's lien for costs upon property recovered or preserved by his exertions, seems equally good in common sense and in law. Such a lien had long been recognized both at law and in equity, but the decision of the House of Lords in *Shaw* v. *Neale* (6 H. L. Cas. 581), that it did not attach to real estate, made it advisable to define and extend it by statute, and this was done by the Solicitors Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 127), s. 8. Under this an order may be made creating a charge upon property preserved or recovered, whatever its nature may be, and such charge is to take priority except as against a *bonâ fide* purchaser for value without notice. At the same time, the former jurisdiction still exists, and it is possible either to enforce the old lien at law or to obtain the more extensive charge under the statute. In the present case, although no property had been recovered, yet a claim had been reduced from £520 to £420; and when the debtor's property realized upon a sale the larger sum, it was reasonably held that the £100 which, but for the resistance to the claim, would have gone into the creditor's pocket, was property preserved by the solicitor's exertions. That the action was not prosecuted to judgment, but that the above favourable result was obtained by means of a compromise, was obviously no reason for depriving the solicitor of his advantage. Neither the words of the statute, where this is relied upon, nor any principle of policy, require such a limitation. As Sir James Hannen remarked, in many cases the best service a solicitor can perform is to bring about a compromise. The question, however, had already been decided in *Twynam* v. *Porter* (11 Eq. 181). In that case, where a compromise had been effected, it was said by Bacon, V.C., "All that took place in connection with the compromise, and all that is to be done in consequence of the compromise, is, in my opinion, subject to the claim of the solicitors under the statute." With regard to the further point raised as to the priority of the lien, the decisions seem abundantly clear. After the solicitor had effected the compromise, but before he had obtained any statutory lien, an advance was made to his client upon the security of the property in question, and the question arose whether it was made without notice. Upon this *Faithful* v. *Ewen* (7 Ch. D. 495), which was recognized as binding by the Court of Appeal in *Shippey* v. *Gray* (49 L. J. Q. B. 524), is decisive. A mortgagee who lends money upon the security of property which he knows to have been the subject of litigation, does so with the further knowledge that the solicitor employed probably has rights over it, and his loan is subject to any such rights as may, in fact, be found to exist.

The new edition of the whole body of statute law at present in force, which has been for some time expected, and which more recently was kept back for the passing of the Mortmain and Charitable Uses Consolidation Act, will now be awaited with interest. It would be a mistake, however, to anticipate any very material reduction in the vast amount of legislation which, in the present century especially, has been so rapidly increasing, and the successful ventures of the Statute Law Revision Committee do little more than remind us of the work that still remains to be done. Probably the greatest impediment in the way of more rapid progress is the desire to amend as well as to consolidate, and it must be acknowledged that when an important and far-reaching branch of law is under discussion the temptation is great to improve at the same time its substance and its form. If this is not done the door is left open for new legislation to follow at once on the same subject, and the consolidating statute becomes again incomplete. But this is an evil necessarily incident to the making of codes, and certainly the wisest thing to do is to proceed as rapidly as possible with the work of consolidation pure and simple, and to leave new legislation for Parliament to carry out when it happens to have the time and temper. The new Mortmain Act, which has recently brought the question to the front, and which purports to amend the law as well as to consolidate it, has in fact very few amendments, and these of trifling importance. Such as are meant merely to clear up doubts which have been found to arise in conveyancing may well be allowed to pass; the pity is that such questions as that relating to Keble College should be raised to threaten the obstruction of useful work.

POSSESSORY TITLES.

V.

3.—Concurrent Interests.

(*B*) *Trustee and Cestui que Trust.*—When land is held upon trust, or, in other words, when the fee simple is divided into co-existent legal and equitable estates, a stranger, who is in possession, can insure safety only by the destruction of each of these, while the cases in which a trustee or a *cestui que trust* tries to gain the whole title, legal and equitable, for himself alone have to be separately considered. The matter is governed by section 25 of the Act of 1833, by which it is enacted that when any land is vested in a trustee upon any express trust the right of the *cestui que trust* to bring an action against the trustee or any person deriving title under him, is to be deemed to have first accrued upon a conveyance to a purchaser for valuable consideration, and then only as against such purchaser and his successors in title. The most important question which arises upon this section relates to the meaning of the phrase "express trust," and this has been productive of much litigation.

What constitutes an express trust.—It is perfectly clear that mere wrongdoing is not enough to make a man an express trustee, and so where a husband entered in right of his wife, and afterwards retained possession as against her heir, he gained a good title: *Ex parte Hasell* (3 Y. & C. 617). A mortgagee, too, under a tenant for life, who afterwards holds against the remainderman, is not in a fiduciary position with regard to him: *Hickman* v. *Upsal* (4 Ch. D. 144). The case of a mere constructive trust, such

as is recognized in equity as springing out of certain relations of the parties, was set aside in *Toft* v. *Stephenson* (7 Hare, 1), where a vendor's lien was held to be barred by time, and in *Re Dane's Estate* (Ir. R. 5 Eq. 498), where the constructive trust arising upon a renewal of leaseholds by the tenant for life was held not to be an express trust in favour of the remainderman within section 25. So, too, a purchaser with notice of a breach of trust does not make himself an express trustee: *Pyrah* v. *Woodcock* (24 L. T. N. S. 407). But while it is easy to say of certain trusts that they are not express, it is by no means so easy to give a definition which will include those that are. In *Petre* v. *Petre* (1 Drew, 371) it was laid down by Kindersley, V.C., that an express trust must be "expressly declared by a deed or a will or some other written instrument"; and not only must there be a trust so declared, but "the trustee must be expressly appointed by some written instrument." This is certainly not true as regards trusts of personalty, and in *Banner* v. *Berridge* (18 Ch. D. 254) it was suggested by Kay, J., that it ought to be confined to trusts of land. Even so, however, it is hardly consistent with other decisions. A somewhat wider definition was given by Fry, J., in *Sands* to *Thompson* (22 Ch. D. 614), where he said:—"My notion of an express trust is that it is a trust which has been expressed, either in writing or by word of mouth, and that it does not include a trust which arises from the acts of the parties. The term does not apply in my judgment to a resulting trust, to an implied trust, or to a constructive trust." It may be doubted, however, whether this would include the trust in *Salter* v. *Cavanagh* (1 Dr. & War. 668), where a devisee in trust to pay an annuity which did not exhaust the profits was held an express trustee of the surplus for the heir-at-law, or that in *Life Association of Scotland* v. *Siddall* (7 Jur. N. S. 785), where a person who undertook to act in the trusts of certain estates was herself held to be an express trustee, upon the ground that her conduct was equivalent to an express declaration in writing. Upon the first of these two cases, however, some doubt appears to have been thrown in *Commissioners of Donations* v. *Wybrants* (2 Jo. & Lat. 182). Upon the whole it seems that, while an express trust must in general be actually declared either in writing, or at least by parol, yet there may be circumstances in which the mere acts of parties will be strong enough to give rise to it, although as to these no general rule can be laid down.

A special class of cases has arisen upon mortgages made in the form of trusts for sale. Here, though the trust is expressly declared, yet it has long been settled that the substance of the deed is to be regarded, and, if this is really a mortgage, it will be treated as such, and the parties left to their proper positions of mortgagor and mortgagee. This was the result of *Locking* v. *Parker* (8 Ch. 30), which was abundantly confirmed by *Re Alison* (11 Ch. D. 284); and the saving which had been allowed in the former case, so far as the trust related to the surplus proceeds of sale, was not allowed to be valid. More recently, in *Chapman* v. *Corpe* (27 W. R. 781) and *Banner* v. *Berridge* (*suprà*), it has been directly held that as regards surplus proceeds there is only a constructive trust, and that this is liable to be barred by lapse of time. Such being the law as to what constitutes an express trust within the present section, we have now to consider the effect of possession by the trustee, the *cestui que trust*, and a stranger respectively.

(i.) *Where the trustee is in possession.*—This, of course, is the case directly contemplated by section 25; lapse of time is of no importance, and as against his *cestui que trust* the trustee can gain no title. This state of things lasts through all devolutions of title under the trustee until there is a sale for valuable consideration: *Law* v. *Bagwell* (4 Dr. & War. 398). A further consequence follows that, as between the different *cestuis que trust* there can be no disturbance of title by wrongful receipt of profits from the trustee. Thus in *Knight* v. *Bowyer* (2 De G. & J. 421) it was held that the statute was no bar to the demand of one *cestui que trust*, although the others had for more than twenty years received from the trustee the whole of the rents and profits to the exclusion of the plaintiff. So, too, in *Lister* v. *Pickford* (13 W. R. 817) a payment of the rents by mistake to the wrong person for more than twenty years did not bar the claim of the real *cestui que trust*. This forms a curious exception to the rule that equitable estates are barred in the same manner as legal estates, but it is evidently quite in accordance with the principle of the statute. The title gained by a possessor is a title to the legal fee, but in this case the receiver of the profits could at most obtain an equitable interest, the possession of the trustees remaining undisturbed.

(ii.) *Where the cestui que trust is in possession.*—It is assumed in the first instance that possession was originally taken under the trustee. Here the *cestui que trust* is in the position of a tenant at will to the trustee, but such a tenancy at will is expressly excluded from the operation of section 7 already considered (*ante*, p. 761). Consequently the trustee has no right of entry until the actual determination of the tenancy, from which time the statute will begin to run against his legal estate: *Garrard* v. *Tuck* (8 C. B. 231). It may be noticed that the saving clause with regard to *cestuis que trust*, at the end of section 7, probably refers only to those entitled under express trusts: *Drummond* v. *Sant* (L. R. 6 Q. B. 763). But where a *cestui que trust* originally enters without acknowledging the title of the trustee, he is then in the position of a stranger.

(iii.) *Where a stranger is in possession.*—It will be convenient to notice here the two cases where the possessor is an absolute stranger to the title, and where he holds by purchase from the trustee. In the former case the action to recover the land has not to be brought, in the words of section 25, "against the trustee or any person claiming through him," and consequently the existence of the trust is immaterial. Thus in *Melling* v. *Leek* (1 Jur. N. S. 759) the adverse possession of a stranger was held to be a good bar under the statute, and, of course, the legal estate of the trustee and the equitable estate of the *cestui que trust* are alike extinguished: *Drummond* v. *Sant* (*suprà*). Cases where some of the *cestuis que trust* themselves have entered, ignoring the title of the trustees, and have thus barred both the trustees and the other *cestuis que trust*, will be found in *Burroughs* v. *M'Creight* (1 Jo. & Lat. 290) and *Bolling* v. *Hobday* (31 W. R. 9). Where, however, the possessor is a purchaser for valuable consideration, then, by the express words of section 25, time begins to run from the date of the conveyance, and it makes no difference that he has notice of the equitable title: *Magdalen College* v. *Attorney-General* (6 H. L. Cas. 189). We have already seen that notice of a breach of trust does not make a purchaser an express trustee.

(*C*) *Mortgagor and Mortgagee.*—Here we have to consider the cases where either the mortgagor or the mortgagee respectively is in possession, and the one claims to have excluded by lapse of time the interest of the other.

(i.) *Where the mortgagor is in possession.*—This case was originally left to the general operation of the statute, with the curious result that the right of the mortgagee to recover was held to accrue upon default in payment of the principal, notwithstanding the regular payment of interest: *Doe* v. *Williams* (5 Ad. & Ell. 291) and in *Doe* v. *Lightfoot* (8 M. & W. 553), even from the date of the mortgage. This led to the enactment of 1 Vict. c. 28, which provided that time should run from the last payment of any part of the principal or interest. The position of the mortgagee was still further improved in *Pugh* v. *Heath* (7 App. Cas. 235), where it was held that a completely new right accrued to him upon his getting a decree for foreclosure, and from this date time ran afresh.

Moreover, the statute last referred to preserves the mortgagee's claim to the land, although it may have been for many years in the possession of a stranger; for as long as he receives his interest regularly he is not bound to take any steps. Thus, in *Doe* v. *Eyre* (17 Ad. & Ell. N. S. 366), after a stranger had taken possession, and while the statute was running in his favour, the owner mortgaged the land and regularly paid the interest. This effectually checked the statute, and no further time could be reckoned against the mortgagee. So, too, in *Re Muskerry* (9 Ir. Ch. R. 94), where of three estates in mortgage, one was sold and the interest paid out out of the others, the right of the mortgagee to recover against the purchaser remained in spite of the lapse of time.

It is stated that Mr. S. Brown, town clerk of Rotherham, has been appointed town clerk of Salford, at a salary of £1,000 per annum. The town clerkship has been vacant since the dismissal of John Graves, the ex-town clerk, owing to irregularities in his department. Mr. Brown will enter upon the duties of his new department a month hence.

THE RESULTS OF THE JUDICIAL STATISTICS.

THESE returns extend over the period of twelve months ending on the last day of October, 1887. In the total number of the criminal classes as known to the police, there is shewn a slight decrease of 1·4 per cent. There is also shewn a decrease in the number of indictable offences reported to the police, and of persons for trial at assizes and sessions. On the other hand, there is an increase of 3·7 per cent in the number of persons summarily proceeded against before justices, but a decrease in the total commitments to prison. Convictions for murder were the same in number as in the previous year. Additions to the numbers of the police and constabulary are made nearly every year, and the year under review is no exception to the general rule, but it appears that this continual increase only keeps pace with the augmentation of the population. The average cost per man of the police is gradually rising, and has increased during the last five years from £98 8s. 0d. to £100 11s. 2d. Under the heading of offences against the person there is a marked decrease as well as under that of offences against property. The proportion of commitments to offences reported to the police continues to be a varying quantity. As regards offences against the person the commitments during the year were 79·1 per cent., and as regards offences against property it was only 28·1 per cent. of the number of offences reported. Appeals from justices' convictions continue to be few in number, and in nearly 50 per cent. of such appeals the sentence is confirmed. Coroners' inquests on deaths were held in 30,030 cases, and while we find a very large number of verdicts of "accidental death" and "death from natural causes," the verdicts of "murder" and "manslaughter" are more in number than in the previous year. In the number of prisoners confined in local prisons there is shewn a marked decrease, as well as in the number confined in convict prisons.

On a former occasion (*ante*, p. 772) we have shewn that there was an increase in the work of the Chancery Division. Notwithstanding Order 4, which sends to chambers many applications which used to be made by petition, the number of petitions presented for hearing in court increased by more than one-tenth, and the same remark applies to petitions of course. The reduced amount of fees received in the taxing masters' offices which was observable in 1885-6 suffered a further reduction in 1886-7. There appears to be a slight falling off in the amount of stock and cash in the books of the Assistant Paymaster-General, but the number of accounts open and the number of cheques drawn were both greater than in the year preceding that for which these returns are compiled.

In the Queen's Bench Division there is a considerable increase in the number of writs sued, the number of appearances entered, and of judgments, and a slight decrease in the executions to enforce judgment. The bills of costs taxed in that division exhibit a large increase in number. Looking at the return of judgments entered up in this division, there appears to have been a slight increase in the number, but as regards the trials there was a slight falling off. In the district registries the business continues to increase. The return shewing the amount of receipts and payments in respect of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Appeal gives an increase in receipts of £6,712, and a decrease in payments of £11,567, and the actual balance of payments over receipts is reduced from £160,689, at which it stood on the 31st of March, 1887, to £142,398 on the same date in 1888.

In the Probate Division there continues to be an increase in the number of probates and administrations granted, as well as in the amount received for duty, and in the value of the property left by testators and intestates, and the same remark applies in every respect to probate business in the district registries. The divorce and matrimonial business exhibits in this return no marked variation from that of the previous year, and although the admiralty business exhibits an increase of a small extent as regards the number of cases, there is a marvellous increase in the amount of the claims made in those cases.

The bankruptcy business shews a continuous increase in every year since the Act of 1883 came into operation. It is noteworthy that the total number of administrations of deceased debtors' estates under section 125 during the whole four years comprised in this return was only 111.

In the county courts throughout the country the number of plaints entered continues to increase, and now largely exceeds one million in the course of the year, but the aggregate sum claimed gives an average of less than £3 for each plaint. There were 46,869 warrants of commitment issued, and 5,277 debtors were imprisoned.

Equitable proceedings in county courts maintain their number, and are, if anything, slightly on the increase, while admiralty business under the same jurisdiction shews a continuous reduction for the last five years.

The two divisions of the Court of Appeal during the 399 days on which they sat disposed of 699 appeals, whereas in the previous year they sat on 404 days and disposed of 816.

In the return of judicial proceedings of the House of Lords it appears that 42 judgments were delivered, as against 56 in the preceding year.

CORRESPONDENCE.

THE MIDDLESEX REGISTRY.

[*To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.*]

Sir,—In July last I explained fully the result of the several proceedings taken by me against the Middlesex Registry which definitely settled the proper fees and other points, especially that the oath of the witness can be taken outside the registry before chancery commissioners appointed under the 1853 Act. I concluded my communication with the following passage:—"The Appeal Court left 'open' the question whether commissioners appointed before or since the Judicature Act, *not* under the 1853 Act, possess the requisite qualification, and as (to my certain knowledge) the registry recognized judicature commissioners in country cases prior to this unlucky leaving 'open' (not expression of doubt), it is my intention shortly to tender a memorial sworn before a commissioner under the 1873 Act only and apply for another *mandamus* if occasion demands." The registry have steadily refused memorials so sworn, and I resolved to proceed.

Some delay arose in consequence of its being necessary for me to be personally plaintiff (seeing that no prudent solicitor would jeopardize his client's security by keeping back a memorial pending the trial of an action to raise an abstract point), but, as I was recently a Middlesex grantor, I tendered a memorial sworn before one of the youngest commissioners I could find appointed by the Lord Chancellor under the Judicature Act, 1873. I informed the registry that such memorial would again be a test case, and they rejected it, well knowing that such rejection would be followed by new proceedings for a *mandamus*. I have since issued my writ, and, as heretofore, I shall not attempt to comment on the case while it is *sub judice*, but only to point out to the profession what the new question really is.

It is well known that prior to 1873 four persons had the appointment of commissioners—*i.e.*, the Lord Chancellor, the two Chief Justices, and the Lord Chief Baron. At the time the Judicature Act was passed some practitioners were chancery commissioners only and some acted in one only of the then three common law courts, though as it was easy after securing an appointment in one to get the others, practically the three were usually obtained, and, in common parlance, there were the "chancery commissioners" and "common law commissioners," neither being able to act generally unless all four commissions were held.

The Judicature Act, as we all know, abolished the offices of Chief Justice of the Common Pleas and Chief Baron of the Exchequer, and the present Lord Coleridge succeeded on the death of Sir Alexander Cockburn to the supreme Chief Justiceship, but the Act centred all the previous powers of appointing commissioners in the Lord Chancellor only. Part of section 84 of the 1873 Act says: "All commissioners to take oaths or affidavits in the Supreme Court shall be appointed by the Lord Chancellor." It is perfectly clear that, before the Judicature Act, the Chancellor appointed commissioners in chancery under the 1853 Act, but I am unaware that his lordship ever affected to proceed under that Act since the enlarged powers were given to him under the Judicature Act. The only difference between the form of appointment under the 1853 Act was that the latter gave the commissioner power in chancery only, whereas the present form of commission is "to administer oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature in England."

The registry contend that because the Act of 1853 stated that a commissioner to administer oaths in chancery should also perform all the duties belonging to a master extraordinary then abolished (a person admittedly able to take registry oaths), the words used in the 1873 Act refer only to causes and matters actually depending in the court. It is alleged that this construction is supported by the peculiar language of section 82 of the 1873 Act, which made provision for all the then existing commissioners as follows: "Every person who at the commencement of this Act shall be authorized to administer oaths in any of the courts whose jurisdiction is hereby transferred to the High Court of Justice shall be a commissioner to administer oaths in all causes and matters whatsoever which may from time to time be depending in the said High Court or in the Court of Appeal."

Having regard to the present action, I have suspended proceedings with the handbook mentioned in my former letter, in respect of which I have received a very large number of subscribers' names, but it is as well that intending subscribers should send their names to Messrs. R. Clay & Sons (Limited), Bread-street-hill, E.C., who will ultimately bring out the volume (3s. 6d.), the profits, as stated before, going to a benevolent institution.

FRANCIS K. MUNTON.

95a, Queen Victoria-street, E.C

CASES OF THE WEEK.

BEFORE THE VACATION JUDGE.

PAPER BOTTLE CO. (LIM.)—Sir James Hannen, 10th October.

COMPANY—"JUST AND EQUITABLE"—CREDITORS—DISCRETION—COMPANIES ACT, 1862 (25 & 26 VICT. c. 89), ss. 79, 80, 91.

In this case a petition was presented by the company asking for a compulsory winding-up order. The nominal capital of the company was £120,000, divided into 120,000 shares of £1 each. For the company it was said that it was insolvent, and that it was just and equitable that it should be wound up: *Bowes* v. *The Hope Co.* (11 H. L. Cas. 389). The petition was supported by shareholders and a creditor to the amount of £102, also by trade creditors who had presented a statutory demand for £50 10s. 6d., which had not been paid. Fifty-seven shareholders out of ninety, the fifty-seven shareholders holding 17,118 shares out of 21,620 allotted, opposed the petition. On their behalf it was urged that no general meeting had been held in June in compliance with the articles. There was no evidence that the company was insolvent; the machinery was worth £2,000, there was a sum of £662 in respect of calls in arrear, and £265 in cash. A requisition had recently been signed by the requisite number of shareholders, holding the requisite proportion of shares allotted, that a meeting should be convened to consider the affairs of the company. There was no allegation in the petition of any of the grounds upon which the court would make a winding-up order under section 79 of the Companies Act, 1862. Then the vendors had a lien under the agreement of the 19th of August, 1887, whereby the company took over the patents; one of the directors was a vendor, and had a direct interest in the company being wound up. Then the directors had undertaken not to accept fees, but they had received £1,000 in fees—that sum would meet all the debts. The petition should be ordered to stand over; no clear case had been made out for a winding-up order: *European Life Assurance Society* (9 Eq. 122); *Langham Skating Rink Co.* (5 Ch. D. 669); *Middlesboro' Assembly Rooms Co.* (14 Ch. D. 104). The vendor of the patents, holding 12,000 shares, was on his way from America, where the patents had been successful; time was only wanted to make the patents equally successful in England.

Sir JAMES HANNEN said that he had very great doubt whether there was any urgency in the matter, or that any mischief would have arisen by waiting until, in the Michaelmas Sittings, the matter could have been dealt with by a judge cognisant of such business, and possessed of a knowledge of it which his lordship had not got. But as the petition had been argued before him, he gave his opinion to the best of his ability, and he had come to the conclusion that it was neither just nor equitable for the company to be immediately wound up. First, the insolvency of the company had not been clearly established, it had not, in fact, been established at all, the direct contrary having been established. The company had £250 in hand, and the debts claimed amounted to about £100. But if the insolvency had been made out, it was brought about by the violation by the directors of their undertaking not to take any fees. If they had kept that undertaking, they would have had £1,000 in hand in addition to the assets stated, a sum ample to meet all the debts. The vast majority of the shareholders were opposed to the winding up. The invention was a new thing in England; it had been most successful elsewhere, but had not had time to be known here. He was of opinion that he had a discretionary power of considering whether it was just and equitable to wind up the company. Having regard to there having been no general meeting in June, and that no explanation had been given why a meeting was not then held, he took upon himself to supply the deficiency and ordered a general meeting of the shareholders to be held that they might give their opinion. The small amount of debts might then be met. He was of opinion that it was a reasonable course that the petition should stand over, and that an extraordinary general meeting of the shareholders should be held in a fortnight in accordance with the requisition of the shareholders.—COUNSEL, *Millar*, Q.C., and *W. F. Hamilton*; *H. R. Williams*; *Ryland*; *Dibdin*; *Marten*, Q.C., and *P. F. Stokes*; *Percy Bunting*. SOLICITORS, *W. F. Stokes*; *Burn & Berridge*; *Montagu Hawkins*; *Crawford & Chester*; *Mozley & Dennison*; *Ingle, Cooper, & Holmes*.

RATCLIFF *v.* SWIFT—Sir James Hannen, 10th October.

SOLICITOR—"RECOVERED AND PRESERVED"—COMPROMISE—NOTICE—SOLICITORS ACT, 1860 (23 & 24 VICT. c. 127), s. 28.

In this case counsel moved, on behalf of Mr. E. T. Ratcliff, to restrain the defendants, Eliza Swift, Messrs. Chadwick & Sons, auctioneers, and Mr. J. W. Coade, from parting with the proceeds of sale of the goods of the defendant Swift. The defendant Swift gave a bill of sale of her furniture to A. W. Carpenter; Carpenter claimed £560, less £40. On the 5th of September, 1888, an order was made by consent in the action of *Swift* v. *Carpenter*, Carpenter agreeing to take £420 and costs. The plaintiff acted as solicitor to Swift. The goods were sold and Carpenter's claim was paid, £110 remaining in the hands of the auctioneers. Subsequently the defendant J. W. Coade advanced £50 to the defendant Swift. Section 28 of the Solicitors Act, 1860, provides that, "In every case in which an attorney or solicitor shall be employed to prosecute or defend any suit, matter, or proceeding in any court of justice, it shall be lawful for the court or judge before whom any such suit, matter, or proceeding has been heard, or shall be depending, to declare such attorney or solicitor entitled to a charge upon the property recovered or preserved, and upon such declaration being made such attorney or solicitor shall have a charge upon and against and a right to payment out of the property, of whatsoever nature, tenure, or kind the same may be, which shall have been recovered or preserved through the instrumentality of any such attorney or solicitor, for the taxed costs, charges, and expenses of or in reference to such suit, matter, or proceeding; and it shall be lawful for such court or judge to make such order or orders for taxation of and for raising and payment of such costs, charges, and expenses out of the said property as to such court or judge shall appear just and proper; and all conveyances and acts done to defeat, or which shall operate to defeat, such charge or right, shall, unless made to a *bond fide* purchaser for value without notice, be absolutely void and of no effect as against such charge or right: Provided always that no such order shall be made by any such court or judge in any case in which the right to recover payment of such costs, charges, and expenses is barred by any Statute of Limitations." For the plaintiff it was said that he had acted as solicitor for Swift in the action of *Swift* v. *Carpenter*. In that action £110 had been preserved for Swift by means of the plaintiff's professional skill. No charging order had been obtained, but the plaintiff had a first charge on the money in the hands of the auctioneers. When Coade lent £50 to Swift he had notice of the plaintiff's claim: *Faithful* v. *Ewen* (26 W. R. 270, 7 Ch. D. 495); *Shippey* v. *Gray* (49 L. J. Q. B. 524); *Hamer* v. *Giles* (11 Ch. D. 942). For the defendants it was said that the plaintiff should have proceeded by petition or summons in the action of *Swift* v. *Carpenter*—he should not have brought a new action. The action was compromised, so the money had neither been "recovered nor preserved" by the plaintiff.

Sir JAMES HANNEN said that the first question which appeared to him to arise in the case was whether or not any property had been recovered or preserved by the plaintiff. He was of opinion that it had. There appeared to have been litigation about the property, and the claim was originally for a much larger sum, which was eventually reduced. It was true that there was a compromise, and the action was not fought out, but there was no difference in principle. A solicitor's services were as much required and as valuable in a case where the result was brought about by a compromise as where the action was fought out. That was the law, and it would be most mischievous if it was the law to limit the rights of solicitors to cases where litigation was, after contest, brought to a successful end. That would be an encouragement to litigation; the best office a solicitor could have was to bring about a compromise. In this case a substantial sum was recovered and preserved, the only question that remained was whether the defendant Coade advanced the money under such circumstances as gave him a charge on the property in priority to the solicitor's lien. His lordship agreed that the cases cited established the proposition that a solicitor's claim was one which anybody dealing with the client was bound to take into consideration before advancing money. Coade, before advancing the money, was aware of the litigation, that was clear—he was aware that the solicitor might have a claim. It was his duty before advancing the money to assure himself that the solicitor had no claim. He was of opinion that the cases established the proposition that the claim of the solicitor did take precedence of the charge of Coade. The injunction must be continued until the hearing or further order. Coade must pay the costs of the motion; the costs of the auctioneers would be reserved.—COUNSEL, *Johnston Edwards*; *Cannot*. SOLICITORS, *E. T. Ratcliff*; *C. E. R. Preston*.

LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL.

RECENT STUDENTS' BOOKS.

THE PRINCIPLES OF BANKRUPTCY. By RICHARD RINGWOOD, M.A., Barrister-at-Law. FOURTH EDITION. Stevens & Haynes.

Of the many works specially written for students preparing for the Incorporated Law Society's examinations recently issued none was perhaps more welcome than the fourth edition of Mr. Ringwood's work on Bankruptcy. The present edition only contains some two or three pages more than that issued in 1884, a fact which, considering the ever-increasing bulk of new editions, is of some importance to the class of readers for whom the author intends his work. Notwithstanding its comparative shortness we can confidently recommend the book, more especially to students who are pressed for time. Unfortunately some important cases have been decided in bankruptcy since the date of its issue, and we have always thought that a few more decisions then current might have been referred to with advantage. Occasionally sections of the Act are set out *verbatim* when a *resumé* would have been sufficient. The rules of proof in case of mutual dealings, accommodation bills, and set-off are clearly and tersely stated. On perusal we consider that candidates for a pass may well be contented with the present work, while those who are ambitious for honours will probably still use Baldwin.

LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETIES.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.—October 2—Mr. Thomas Douglas in the chair.—The subject for discussion was: "That, having regard to the increase of population in this country, it is advisable to place certain restrictions upon marriage." Mr. W. M. Woodhouse opened the debate, and was supported by Messrs. Todd, Thorpe, and Curtis, and opposed by Messrs. H. Risch, Miller, Stanley, Plaskitt, Stevens, Pattinson, Parker, and Wheeler. Mr. Woodhouse replied, and the motion, on being put to the society, was eventually lost by four votes.

October 9—Mr. Ernest Todd in the chair.—The subject for discussion was moved by Mr. Crawford: "That the case of *Bethel* v. *Hildyard** was wrongly decided," and he was supported by Mr. Kinniple. Messrs.

[* *The Baralong Marriage case* (36 W. R 503)—a very suitable supplement to the subject discussed at the prior meeting.—ED. *S. J.*]

Douglas, Bower, Plaskitt, Bunting, Curtis, and Arnold opposed. After Mr. Crawford had replied, the learned chairman summed up, and the motion was put to the society and lost by ten votes.

Bristol Law Students' Society.—October 2.—Mr. H. F. Lawes, barrister, in the chair.—The first and second prize essays were read by their respective authors, Messrs. G. D Grey and W. H. Jefferis. A discussion on the subject of the essays ("The Advisability and Utility of the Codification of English Law") followed, in which Messrs. J. L. V. S. Williams, G. D. Grey, W. H. Jefferis, A. S. Tratman, and B. Hawkins took part. The chairman then addressed the meeting on the subject, and the proceedings terminated with a vote of thanks to him for presiding.

LEGAL NEWS.

OBITUARY.

Mr. Rest William Flint, solicitor (of the firm of Sankey & Flint), of Canterbury, who was drowned at Deal on the 26th ult, under the circumstances which we narrated last week, was the son of Mr. Frederick Flint, of Canterbury. He was born in 1839, and he served his articles in the office of the late Mr. Edward Knocker, town clerk of Dover. He was admitted a solicitor in 1861, and he had practised for many years at Canterbury in partnership with Mr. Herbert Tritton Sankey, clerk of the peace for Canterbury. He was elected town clerk of the city of Canterbury in 1864, and clerk to the Canterbury Burial Board in 1876, and he held both offices until his death. Mr Flint had been twice married, and he leaves a large family. He was buried in the family vault at St. Dunstan's Church, Canterbury, on the 29th ult.

Mr. George Stovin Venables, Q.C., died at 67, Curzon-street, on the 6th inst., at the age of seventy-seven. Mr. Venables was the second son of the Rev. Richard Venables, D.D., and was born in 1811. He was educated at the Charterhouse, and he was formerly fellow and tutor of Jesus College, Cambridge, where he graduated in the first class of the Classical Tripos, and also as a junior optime in 1832. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Trinity Term, 1836, and he formerly practised on the Oxford Circuit. In 1863 he received a silk gown from Lord Westbury, and he afterwards confined his practice to Parliamentary committees. He had for many years an extensive and lucrative practice, and he held standing retainers for the Midland, the Brighton, and other influential railway companies. He retired from practice about five years ago. Mr. Venables was an honorary fellow of Jesus College, Cambridge, and a bencher of the Inner Temple, of which society he was treasurer in 1878. He was a magistrate for Radnorshire, and a magistrate and deputy-lieutenant for Brecknockshire, and he was high sheriff of the former county in 1884.

APPOINTMENTS.

Mr. Henry Small, solicitor, of Buckingham, has been appointed Clerk to the County Magistrates and the to Commissioners of Taxes for the Division of Ashendon. Mr. Small was admitted a solicitor in 1851. He is coroner for the borough of Buckingham.

Mr. Arthur Percy Jackson, solicitor, of 7, Cannon-street, and of Bromley, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the acknowledgments of deeds by married women for the county of Kent.

Mr. Ralph Daniel Makinson Littler, Q.C., has been elected Chairman of the newly-formed Wood Green Local Board. Mr. Littler is the second son of the Rev. Robert Littler and was born in 1835. He was educated at University College, London, and he graduated B.A. of the University of London in 1854. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Trinity Term, 1857. He became a Queen's Counsel in 1873, and he practises on the Northern Circuit and at the Parliamentary bar. He is a bencher of the Middle Temple.

Mr. Joseph Parry Jones, solicitor (of the firm of Minshulls & Parry Jones), of Oswestry and Llangollen, and of 27, Chancery-lane, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the acknowledgments of married women for Shropshire and Denbighshire.

Mr. John Martin Danavall, barrister, has been appointed a Puisne Judge of the Supreme Court of the Leeward Islands. Mr. Justice Danavall is the only son of Mr. John Martin Danavall, of St. Kitts. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in June, 1877.

Mr. John Laurence Paitson, solicitor, of Whitehaven, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the acknowledgments of married women for the county of Cumberland.

Mr. Samuel Brown, solicitor, of Rotherham, has been elected Town Clerk of the borough of Salford. Mr. Brown was admitted a solicitor in 1865. He has been for several years town clerk of the borough of Rotherham, and he is also solicitor to the Catcliffe School Board and steward of the manor of Beighton.

Mr. John Dunning Prickman, solicitor (of the firm of Prickman & Risdon), of Exeter, Okehampton, and North Tawton, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the acknowledgments of deeds by married women for Devonshire and the city of Exeter.

The Right Hon. John Hay Atholl Macdonald, LL.D., C.B., Q.C., M.P., Lord Advocate, who has been appointed Lord Justice Clerk in Scotland, on the resignation of Lord Moncrieff, is the son of Mr. Matthew Norman Macdonald, and was born in 1836. He was educated at the Edinburgh Academy and at the University of Edinburgh, and he was admitted a member of the faculty of advocates in Scotland in 1859. He was sheriff of the counties of Sutherland, Ross, and Cromarty from 1874 till 1876, when he became Solicitor-General for Scotland. On his retirement from office in 1880 he became sheriff of Perthshire, and in 1882 he was elected dean of the faculty of advocates and was created a Queen's Counsel. He was Lord Advocate from July, 1885, till January, 1886, and he was re-appointed in July, 1886. He has been M P., for the Universities of Edinburgh and St. Andrews since 1885, and he was created a Civil Companion of the Order of the Bath in 1886. He is an hon. LL.D. of the University of Edinburgh.

Mr. James Patrick Bannerman Robertson, Q.C., M.P., who succeeds Mr. Macdonald as Lord Advocate, is the son of the Rev. Robert Robertson, of Forthteviot, Perthshire, and was born in 1845. He was educated at the High School, Edinburgh, and at the University of Edinburgh, and he was admitted a member of the faculty of advocates in Scotland in 1867. He was Solicitor-General from July, 1885, till January, 1886, and he was re-appointed in the following July. Mr. Robertson has been M P. for Buteshire in the Conservative interest since 1885, and he was created a Queen's Counsel in the same year.

Mr. John Thomas Last, solicitor (of the firm of Last & Betts), of Bradford, Shipley, and Liversedge, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the acknowledgments of deeds by married women for the West Riding of Yorkshire.

Mr. Henry John Lane, solicitor, of 4, Great St. Thomas Apostle, E.C., and Carshalton, Surrey, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the acknowledgments of married women in Surrey. Mr. Lane has also recently been elected chairman of the local board for the district of Carshalton.

Mr. John Tennant, solicitor, of Leeds and Rothwell, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner to take the acknowledgments of married women. He was admitted in 1874.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIP.

Dissolutions.

Thomas Potter Cunliffe, Alfred Leaf, Edward Bythway, Robert Ellis Cunliffe, and Walter Greg, solicitors (Cunliffe, Leaf, & Co), Manchester. Sept. 29.

Dalton Thomas Miller and Francis Miller, solicitors, 13, Sherborne-lane, London. June 18. [*Gazette*, Oct. 9.

GENERAL.

The London correspondent of the *Scotsman* says that an amateur detective, seeing a woman alone in a street off Whitechapel, followed in the distance. Suddenly he saw a man steal up to her. He made a bound forward to protect the woman, and then it was discovered that all three were amateurs after the murderer.

The proceedings upon the Special Commission will be resumed in Probate Court I. on Monday, the 22nd inst., all idea of substituting a larger and more commodious court having been abandoned. As so many applications have been received by the secretary from members of Parliament and others for tickets of admission to the court, it has been deemed advisable to provide more accommodation, and accordingly Sir James Hannen has given instructions for the gallery to be temporarily enlarged, which will be effected by bringing it forward several feet in front, in addition to which seats will be erected on each side over the two entrances to the court. The same arrangements that were in force at the recent preliminary meeting of the commissioners will be carried out at the coming proceedings, the members of the press, as heretofore, being well cared for. No person under any circumstances will be admitted to the court without a ticket.

A writer in the *Times* says that:—"The Government are about to publish the first volumes of a revised edition of the statutes. The exact price of the entire set, containing the whole body of the statute law in force, is a little uncertain. Probably all the volumes will be sold at five or eight guineas. They will include not only a reprint of the well-known index to the statutes, but a separate index to each volume, the absence of which has been a blemish in the present series. Very early this year some volumes might have been published. They were, however, kept back in order that the Mortmain Consolidation Bill, intended to repeal a large group of statutes, might become law. The new edition gives distinct effect for the first time to the desire expressed by Sir Nicolas Bacon to Elizabeth as the aim of the law reformer of his day that "where many laws be made for one thing, the same are to be reduced and established into one law, and the former to be abrogated." It also fulfils one of the chief desires of modern reformers, in superseding some forty volumes, and bringing a complete copy of the statute law within the reach of a workman's club or a village library.

At the Edmonton County Court last week, Judge Abdy drew attention to the Law of Distress Amendment Act, which comes into operation on the 31st of the present month. It was, he said, a very interesting measure, and made important alterations in the law of distress for rent. Certain goods and chattels of the tenant or his family would henceforth be exempt from distress, the protection extending to beds, bedding, and tools of trade to the extent of £5, all of which were liable to seizure under the old law. The necessity of appraisement before sale of goods distrained for rent is abolished, except where the tenant or owner of the goods requires in writing such appraisement to be made, and the landlord or other person levying the distress may, without such requirement, sell the

goods and chattels without causing them to be previously appraised. To avoid private sales, where property is often disposed of at much below its real value, at the request of the tenant or owner the goods must be removed to a public auction-room, and, by request, the five days within which the tenant or owner of the goods and chattels may replevy the same shall be extended to a period of not more than fifteen days. Then a death-blow is given to unscrupulous persons who have heretofore traded as brokers, inasmuch as under the new law no person can act as a bailiff to levy any distress unless he shall be authorized so to act by a certificate in writing under the hand of a county court judge, and his Honour stated that he should be prepared to sign the necessary forms on Saturday next. If found to be guilty of any extortion or other misconduct in the execution of his duty such authorized bailiff will be liable to have his certificate cancelled, and if any person not holding a certificate under the Act shall levy and distrain, the person so levying, and any person who has authorized him to levy, will be deemed to have committed a trespass.

The *Times*, in the course of a notice of the late Sir Henry Keating, says:—"Throughout the twenty volumes of the 'Common Bench New Series Reports' are very few long judgments delivered by him; the fact is that in those days judges, one and all of them, were much less diffuse, and their reporters more concise and less indulgent than is now the custom. If Parke and Maule could compress all that they had to say into a page or two the judges who had practised before them and imbibed their spirit did not think that they must pen judgments fifty pages in length. Among concise judges Sir Henry Keating was conspicuously brief. The record of his opinions is generally a few pithy lines, and as actually delivered they were not much longer. With all his modesty no one's opinion carried more weight in Westminster-hall, no matter what was the question, than Keating's. His more brilliant or confident colleagues admitted that he had a rare faculty for proving right; and this would be verified by an examination of the decisions of the Exchequer Chamber at a time when it was a court of appeal of remarkable authority. His learning, used habitually without ostentation, was far from inconsiderable. In his younger days he had read much law. He had been trained to follow the strictest rules of the common law: he had acquired—his notes and those of Mr. Justice Willes to Smith's 'Leading Cases' shew it—a perfect mastery of the science of pleading as taught in 'Comyns'—a science which, if it occasionally led to a pursuit of the mere shadow of justice, was often a security against carelessness and uncertainty in decisions, and laxity of thought and statement. It used to be said of Keating that he never made mistakes—a saying as near the truth as such adages can be. His immunity from error, whether *in Banc* or at *Nisi Prius*, arose primarily from an equable sweetness of temper, a candid mind, and an utter absence of vanity; but still more was it due to his ripe, well-considered knowledge of the common law. On the bench he did not live, intellectually speaking, from hand to mouth; he had not to depend solely upon the authorities collected by counsel, supplemented by the results of a hurried dip into a text-book as the argument proceeded. Consequently we are unable to recall, in connection with him, any of those judgments, not now entirely unknown, which startle and perplex the profession until they are put straight in the Court of Appeal."

COURT PAPERS.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

MICHAELMAS SITTINGS, 1888.

COURT OF APPEAL.

Appeal Court, I.

Final and interlocutory appeals from the Queen's Bench Division, the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division (Admiralty), and the Queen's Bench Division Sitting in Bankruptcy.

Wed., Oct ...24	App. mots. ex pte—orgl. mots—and apps from ords made on interlocutory mots
Thurs, ...25	Apps from the Q.B. Final List
Friday 26	Bkcy apps & apps from Q B Final List if necessary
Saturday ..27	Apps from the Q.B. Final List
Monday 29	
Tuesday.....30	
Wednesday 31	App. motns. ex pte—orgl. motns—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots & also apps. from the final list if required.
Thurs., Nov 1	Apps from Q B final list
Friday, ... 2	Bkcy apps & apps from Q B Final List if necessary
Sat., ... 3	Apps from Q B final list
Mon. 5	
Tuesday .. 6	
Wednesday 7	App. mots. ex pte.—orgl. mots.—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots and also apps from the final list if required.
Thursday .. 8	Apps from Q B final list
Friday 9	Bkcy apps and apps from Q B Final List if necessary
Satday, ...10	Apps from Q B final list
Monday 12	
Tues. 13	
Wed. 14	App. motns. ex pte.—orgl. mots.—and apps. from ords made on interlocutory motns and also appe. from final list if required
Thursday ...15	Apps from Q B final list
Friday 16	Bkcy apps and apps from Q B final list if necessary
Saturday ..17	Apps from Q B Final List
Monday 19	
Tuesday ...20	
Wednesday 21	App. mots. ex pte—orgl. mots—and apps from ords made on interlocutory mots & also apps. from final list if required
Thursday ..22	Apps from Q B Final List
Friday 23	Bkcy apps and apps from Q B final list if necessary
Saturday ..24	Apps from Q B final list
Mon. 26	
Tuesdy.....27	
Wednesday 28	App. motns. ex pte—Orgl. motns.—and apps from ords made on interlocutory mtns and also apps. from final list if required.
Thursdy....29	Apps from Q B final list
Friday 30	Bkcy apps and apps from Q B final list if necessary
Sat.,... Dec 1	Apps from Q B final list
Mon.,..... 3	
Tuesday.... 4	
Wednes 5	App motns ex pte—Orgl motns—& apps from orders made on interlocutory mtns and also apps from final list if required
Thursday.. 6	Apps from Q B final list
Friday, ... 7	Bkcy apps and apps from Q B final list if necessary
Saturday .. 8	Apps from Q B final list
Monday....10	
Tuesday....11	
Wednesday 12	App motns ex pte—Ordl motns—& apps from orders made on interlocutory mots and also apps from final list if required
Thursday ...13	Apps from Q B Final List
Friday 14	Bkcy apps and apps from Q B final list if necessary
Sat 15	Apps from Q B final list
Monday.....17	
Tuesday....18	
Wednesday 19	App. motns. ex pte—orgl. mots. —and apps. from ords made on interlocutory mots and also apps from Final List if required
Thursday....20	Apps from Q B final list
Friday ...21	Bkcy apps & apps from Q B Final List if necessary

N.B.—Admiralty Appeals, with assessors, are taken in Appeal Court I. on specially appointed days.

Appeal Court, II.

Final and interlocutory appeals from the Chancery, and Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Divisions (Probate and Divorce), and the County Palatine and Stannaries Courts.

Wed., Oct....24	App mtns ex pte—Original mtns—apps from ords made on Interlocutory mtns (sep list)
Thursday ...25	County Palatine Apps, and if necessary apps from Chan gen list
Friday 26	Apps from the Chancery General List
Saturday ...27	
Monday.....29	
Tuesday ...30	
Wedsdy.....31	App mtns ex pte—original mtns—appeals from orders made on interlocutory mtns (sep list) & apps from gen list if required
Thurs. Nov. 1	County Palatine apps, and if necessary apps from the Chan gen list
Friday 2	Apps from the Chancery Gen-ral List
Saturdy.... 3	
Monday..... 5	
Tuesdy 6	
Wednesday 7	App mtns ex pte—original mtns—appeals from orders made on interlocutory mtns (sep list), and apps from gen list if required
Thursday ... 8	Apps from Chan gen list
Friday 9	
Saturday ...10	
Mon. 12	
Tuesday.....13	
Wednesday 14	App mtns ex pte—original motions—apps from orders made on interlocutory mtns (sep list), and apps from genera' list if required
Thursday ..15	Apps from Chan gen list
Friday 16	
Saturday ...17	
Mon., ...19	
Tues. 20	
Wednesday 21	App. motns. ex pte—orgl. motns — appe. from ords made on interlocutory mots (sep list), and apps from general list if required
Thursday ..22	Apps from the Chancery General List
Friday 23	
Saturday ..24	
Mon. 26	
Tues. 27	
Wednesday 28	App. motns. ex pte—orgl. motns.—appe. from ords made on interlocutory mots (sep list), and apps from general list if required
Thursday ..29	Apps from the Chancery General List
Friday 30	
Sat., Dec. ... 1	
Monday 3	
Tuesday ... 4	
Wed. 5	App mtns ex pte—original mtns—appeals from orders made on interlocutory mtns (sep list), and apps from gen list if required
Thursday ... 6	County Palatine Apps, and if necessary apps from the Chan GenList
Friday 7	Apps from Chan gen list
Saturday ... 8	
Monday....10	
Tuesday ...11	
Wednesday 12	App. mots. ex pte—orgl. mots.—and apps. from orders made on interlocutory mots. (sep list) & apps from general list if required
Thursday ...13	Apps from Chan gen list
Friday 14	
Sat 15	
Monday....17	
Tuesday.....18	
Wednesday 19	App mtns ex pte—original mtns—appeals from orders made on interlocutory mtns (sep list), and apps from gen list if required
Thur-day ...20	Apps from Chan gen list
Friday 21	

N.B.—Lunacy Petitions (if any) are taken in Appeal Court II. on every Monday at Eleven until further notice.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

CHANCERY DIVISION.

Chancery Court, I.

Mr. Justice KAY.

Wed., Oct... 24	Motions
Thursday.. 25	General paper
Friday 26	Motns. adj sums, & gen. pa
Saturday.. 27	Pets, sht causes, adj sums, & gen. pa
Mon., ... 29	General paper
Tues. 30	
Wednesday 31	
Thurs., Nov 1	
Friday, ... 2	Mts, adj sms & gen pa.
Saturday .. 3	Pets., sht. caus., adj sums, & gen. pa.
Monday 5	General paper
Tues. 6	
Wed. 7	
Thurs 8	
Friday 9	Motns. adj sms, & gen. pa.
Satrdy 10	Pets, sht. caus, adj. sums, & gen pa
Monday 12	General paper.
Tues.,... ...13	
Wed 14	
Thursday ...15	
Friday 16	Motns. adj. sums. & gen pa
Saturday ...17	Pets., sht. caus., adj. sums. & gen. pa
Monday....19	General paper.
Tuesday....20	
Wednesday 21	
Thursday ..22	
Friday 23	Motns, adj sums, & gen. pa.
Saturday ..24	Pets., sht. caus., adj. sums. & gen. pa
Mon. 26	General paper
Tuesdy 27	
Wednesday 28	
Tuesday ...29	
Friday 30	Motns. adj. sums. & gen. pa.
Sat., Dec ... 1	Pets, sht causes, adj sums, & gen pa
Monday 3	General paper.
Tuesday.... 4	
Wednesday 5	
Thursday .. 6	
Friday 7	Motns., adj sums, & gen pa
Saturday ... 8	Petns, sht. caus., adj. sums and gen pa
Mon.,.....10	General paper.
Tuesy.....11	
Wed 12	
Thurs. 13	
Friday 14	Mots. adj sums, & gen. pa.
Saturday ...15	Pets, sht caus, adj sums & gen paper
Mon., ...17	General paper.
Tues. 18	
Wednesday 19	
Thursday ...20	
Friday 21	Motions

Any cause intended to be heard as a short cause must be so marked in the cause book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard, and the necessary papers must be left in court with the judge's officer the day before the cause is to be put into the paper.

Chancery Court, III.

Mr. Justice CHITTY.

Wed., Oct ...24	Motions
Thursday ...25	Non wit list
Friday 26	Mtns continued & non wit list
Saturday ..27	Pets, sht. causes, opposed pets, Procedure summs, & non wit list
Monday.....29	Fur cons and non wit list
Tuesday....30	Non wit list
Wed., ...31	
Thurs., Nov. 1	
Friday 2	Motions and non wts list
Saturday ... 3	Pets, sht. causes, Procedure summs, opposed pets, & non wit list
Monday 5	Fur cons and non wit list
Tuesday.... 6	Non wit list
Wednesday 7	
Thursday .. 8	
Friday, ... 9	Mns and non wits list
Saturday ..10	Pets, sht. causes, opposed pets, Procedure summs, & non wit list
Monday 12	Fur cons & non wit list
Tues. 13	Causes with wits
Wednesday 14	
Thursday ...15	
Friday 16	Motns. & non wit list
Saturday ..17	Pets, sht causes, Procedure sums, opposed pets, and non wit list

Monday	19	Fur cons & non wits list
Tuesday	20	Causes with witnesses.
Wedns.	21	
Thursday	22	
Friday	23	Motions & non wit list
Saturday	24	Pets, sht causes, opposed pets, Procedure summs, & non wit list
Mon.	26	Fur cons & non wit list
Tuesday	27	Causes with wits
Wed,	28	
Thurs,	29	
Friday,	30	Motions and non wit list
Sat., Dec	1	Pets, sht. causes, procedure summs, opposed pets, & non wit list !
Monday	3	Fur cons and not wit list
Tuesday	4	Non wit list
Wednesday	5	
Thursday	6	
Friday	7	Mots. & non wit list
Saturday	8	Pets, short causes, opposed pets, procedure sums, and non wit list
Monday	10	Fur cons & non wit list
Tuesday	11	Non wit list
Wednesday	12	
Thursday	13	
Friday	14	Motions and non wits list
Saturday	15	Pets., sht. causes, procedure sums, opposed pets, and non wit list
Mon.,	17	Further considerations and non wit list
Tuesday	18	Non wit list
Wednesday	19	
Thursday	20	
Friday,	21	Motions and non wit list

Any cause intended to be heard as a short cause must be so marked in the cause book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard, and the necessary papers must be left in court with the judge's officer the day before the cause is to be put into the paper.

Chancery Court, II.

Mr. Justice NORTH.

Wed.	Oct 24	Motions
Thursday	25	Adj. sums.
Friday,	26	
Saturday	27	Sht. caus, pets., adj. sumns
Mon.	29	Adj sums
Tues.	30	
Wednesday	31	
Thurs. Nov.	1	
Friday	2	Motns. & adj. sumns.
Saturday	3	Short caus, pets, adj sums
Monday	5	Actions with wits
Tues.,	6	
Wednesday	7	
Thursday	8	
Friday	9	Motns. & adj. sums.
Saturday	10	Sht. causes, pets, adj sums
Monday	12	General paper
Tues.	13	
Wed.,	14	
Thursday	15	
Friday,	16	Mtns and adj sms
Saturday	17	Sht. caus., ptns. adj. sumns
Mon.,	19	General paper.
Tuesdy.	20	
Wednsday	21	
Thursday	22	
Friday	23	Mots. & adj. sumns
Sat.,	24	Sht caus, pts, & adj sms
Monday	26	General paper
Tuesday	27	
Wednesday	28	
Thursday	29	
Friday	30	Motions & adj. sumns
Saturd. Dec.	1	Sht caus, pts, & adj sms
Monday	3	General paper.
Tues.	4	
Wed.	5	
Thursday	6	
Friday	7	Mtns and adj sms
Sat	8	Sht caus, pets, & adj sms.
Monday	10	General paper
Tuesday	11	
Wednes.	12	
Thurs.,	13	
Friday	14	Mtns and adj sms
Saturday	15	Sht. caus, pets. adj. sumns.
Mon.	17	General paper.
Tuesday	18	
Wednsday	19	
Thursd	20	
Friday	21	Mtns. & gen. pa.

Any cause intended to be heard as a short cause must be so marked in the cause-book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard, and the necessary papers must be left in court with the judge's clerk the day before the cause is to be put in the paper.

Lord Chancellor's Court.

Mr. Justice STIRLING.

Wed.,	Oct. 24	Motions
Thurs.	25	General paper
Friday	26	Mts, adj sms and gen pa
Sat	27	Sht caus, pts, adj sms, and gen pa
Monday	29	Sitting in chambers
Tuesdy.	30	General paper
Wednesday	31	
Thurs., Nov.	1	
Friday	2	Motns. adj sums. & gen. pa
Saturday	3	Sht caus, pets, adj sumns, & gen pa
Mon.,	5	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	6	General paper.
Wednesday	7	
Thursday	8	
Friday	9	Mtns, adj sums & gen pa
Saturday	10	Sht causes, pets, adj sums, & gen pa
Monday	12	Sitting in chambers
Tues.	13	General paper
Wed.	14	
Thursday	15	
Friday,	16	Mots, adj smns, & gen pa.
Saturday	17	Sht causes, pets., adj sums & gen pa
Monday	19	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	20	General paper.
Wednesday	21	
Thursday	22	
Friday	23	Mots. adj. sumns. & gen. pa
Saturday	24	Sht. caus, pets, adj sums, & gen. pa.
Mon.	26	Sitting in chambers
Tuesdy.	27	General paper.
Wed.,	28	
Thursday	29	
Friday,	30	Mots, adj sums & gen pa
Sat., Dec	1	Sht caus, pets, adj sums & gen pa
Mon.,	3	Sitting in chambers
Tusdy.,	4	General paper
Wed.	5	
Thursday	6	
Friday	7	Mtns, adj smns, and gen pa
Saturday	8	Sht. caus, pets. adj. sums. and gen pa
Monday	10	Sitting in chambers
Tuesday	11	General paper
Wednesday	12	
Thursday	13	
Friday	14	Mots.adj sums, & gen. pa.
Saturday	15	Sht. caus., pets., adj. sums & gen. pa.
Mond.	17	Sitting in chambers
Tues.,	18	General paper.
Wed.,	19	
Thursday	20	
Friday,	21	Mots, adj sums & gen pa

Any cause intended to be heard as a sho t cause must be so marked in the cause book at least one clear day before the same can be put in the paper to be so heard, and the necessary papers, including minutes of the proposed judgment or order, must be left with the judge's clerk one clear day before the cause is to be put into the paper.

Chancery Court, IV.

Mr. Justice KEKEWICH.

Subject to arrangements for Interlocutory Business Actions for Trial will be taken on every day of the Sittings, from 24th October to 21st December both inclusive

Motions in Liverpool and Manchester Business will be heard on Saturday, October 27th, and in every subsequent week on Saturdays. Other Interlocutory Business from the Liverpool District Registry will be taken on Saturday, October 27th, and the like Business from the two District Registries will be taken in subsequent weeks on alternate Saturdays, commencing with Manchester Business on Saturday, Nov. 3.

Summonses in Chambers issued in the same Registries will be heard on Friday afternoons, Liverpool and Manchester Summonses being taken on alternate Fridays, commencing with Liverpool Summonses on Friday, October 26th.

On other days Actions transferred for Trial only will be taken in the order in the Cause List.

Warning to intending House Purchasers and Lessees.—Before purchasing or renting a house have the Sanitary arrangements thoroughly examined by an expert from The Sanitary Engineering & Ventilation Co., 115, Victoria-st., Westminster (Estab. 1875), who also undertake the Ventilation of Offices, &c.—[Advt.]

Stammerers and Stutterers should read a little book by Mr. B. Beasley, Baron's-court-house, W. Kensington, London. Price 13 stamps. The author, after suffering nearly 40 years, cured himself by a method entirely his own.—[Advt.]

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—Friday, Oct. 5.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

Limited in Chancery.

North Carolina Estate Co., Limited.—The Vacation Judge has, by an order dated Sept 19, appointed Algernon Osmond Miles, 28, King st, Cheapside, to be official liquidator

T. H. Croager, Limited.—Petn for winding up, presented Oct 4, directed to be heard before Kay, J., on Saturday, Oct 27. Wood & Co., Rood lane, solors for petner

County Palatine of Lancaster.
Limited in Chancery.

Wholesale Stationers and Paper Makers' Co., Limited.—By an order made by the Vice-Chancellor, dated Sept 26, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the company be continued. Owen, Liverpool, solor for petner

FRIENDLY SOCIETY DISSOLVED.

Thetford Primitive Methodist Benefit Society, Primitive Methodist Chapel, Thetford, Norfolk. Oct 2

London Gazette.—Tuesday, Oct. 9.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

Limited in Chancery.

F. J. Besley & Co., Limited.—Petn for winding up, presented Oct 4, directed to be heard before Stirling, J., on Oct 27. Morse & Simpson, Copthall bldgs, solors for petner

Share Exchange, and Banking Co., Limited.—By an order made by Hannen, P., dated Sept 28, it was ordered that the company be wound up. Norris & Co., Bedford row, solors for petner

Unlimited in Chancery.

Birkenhead Tramways Co.—Kekewich, J., has fixed Monday, Oct 22 at 11, at the chambers of the District Registrar, Government bldgs, Victoria st, Liverpool, for the appointment of an official liquidator

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES.

Suspended for Three Months.

Hayfield Funeral Benefit Society, Walk Mill Schoolroom, Hayfield, Stockport, Derby. Oct 4

Over Friendly Society, National Schoolroom, Over, near St. Ives, Cambridge. Oct 4

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

Last Day of Claim.

London Gazette—Friday, Oct. 5.

Barnacle, Emma, Orthopædic Hospital, Matron. Nov 1. Ellison & Burrows Cambridge

Berkley, Susan, Croft, North Riding. Nov 4. Simey, Sunderland

Birch, William, Marton, nr Blackpool, Lancaster, Gent. Nov 15. Dickson, Kirkham

Botterill, William Earnshaw, Eastthorpe, East Riding, Farmer. Dec 1. Robson, Pocklington

Bromley, Mary, Milton next Gravesend. Dec 25. Bewley, Gravesend

Card, Stephen, Southborough, Kent, Carpenter. Nov 1. Cripps & Son, Tunbridge Wells

Clarke, Charles, Mare st, Hackney, Pharmaceutical Chemist. Oct 28. Tyer, New inn

Cooper, William Edward, Swallowfield, Berkshire, Miller. Oct 27. May, Reading

Davidson, Ellen, Sylvia st, Kirkdale, nr Liverpool. Nov 1. Mason & Grierson, Liverpool

Dawbarn, Robert, Wisbech, St Peter, Cambridge, Esq. Nov 8. Dawbarn & Botsford, March, Cambs

Dawson, William, Philadelphia lane, Norwich. Nov 12. Bavin & Daynes, Castle Meadow, Norwich

Doody, Caroline, Newport, Salop, Licensed Victualler. Nov 17. Heane, Newport, Salop

Doody, James, Newport, Salop, Licensed Victualler. Nov 17. Heane, Newport, Salop

Harbottle, Anthony, Newcastle upon Tyne, Wholesale Grocer. Dec 1. Chartres & Youll, Newcastle upon Tyne

Harris, Richard, Melbourne Farm, Liddington, Wilts, Farmer. Nov 10. Crowdy & Son, Faringdon

Holt, Emma, Back lane, Overton, Flint. Nov 16. Wilson, Cornhill

Holt, George, Dee Bank Cottage, Overton, Flint, Yeoman. Nov 16. Wilson, Cornhill

Hughes, Thomas, Earlsfield rd, Wandsworth, Gent. Dec 15. Keen & Co, Knightrider st

Ibbotson, Mary Eleanor, Chatham st, Liverpool. Oct 31. Quinn, Liverpool

Jones, Henry, South John st, Liverpool, Land Agent. Dec 21. Priest & Son, Liverpool

Milner, Charles, Fargate, Sheffield, Ironmonger. Dec 31. Broomhead & Co, Sheffield

Morgan, Richard John Edward, Chantry, Norwich, Esq. Nov 20. Tillett & Co, Norwich

Moss, William, East Markham, Notts, Tailor. Dec 31. Mee & Co, Retford

Rushbridge, Jane, Sidlesham, Sussex. Nov 14. Sowton, Chichester

Sanders, Ven Henry, Sowton, Devon, Clerk, Archdeacon of Exeter. Nov 16. Burch, Exeter

Sherwin, Robert, Hanley, Staffs, Brush Manufacturer. Dec 6. Bishop, Hanley

Smith, John, Hamilton terr, St Margaret's, Twickenham, Curator of Royal Gardens, Kew. Nov 28. Hamlin & Co, Legal and General chbrs, Fleet st

Spencke, Mary, Cedar st, Blackpool, Lancs. Nov 13. Rawnsley, Bradford

Sewell, John, Butley, Suffolk, Miller. Nov 16. Welton, Woodbridge

Turnbull, Edward, Belsize park, Hampstead, Solicitor. Dec 31. Turnbull & Tilly, West Hartlepool

Whiteside, James, Upper Walker st, Preston, Lancs, Wheelwright. Nov 1. Higson, Preston

Wright, James, High Marlow Hill, Durham, Tailor. Nov 12. Elsdon & Dransfield, Newcastle on Tyne

London Gazette.—Tuesday, Oct. 9.

Apps, Richard, Luton, Kent, Gent Nov 10. Mace & Sons, Tenderden

Bateson, Maria, Leeds. Nov 24. Cranswick, Leeds

Churchman, Henry Charles, Ipswich, Suffolk. Oct 14. Lewis & Churchman, Chancery lane

Ciocci, Jemima Mary Bacon, Yarmouth. Dec 1. Upperton & Bacon, Brighton

COCHRAN, ANN, East Markham, Nottingham. Dec 8. Mee & Co, Retford
COLMORE, FREDERICK HUGH, Royal avenue, Sloane sq, Law Student. Dec 1. Colmore, Birmingham
COOPER, WILLIAM EDWARD, Swallowfield, Berks, Miller. Oct 27. May, Reading
CRIPPS, FANNY, Teneriffe, Exmouth. Nov 17. Gamlen & Co, Gray's inn sq
CRIPPS, JOSEPH BOYD, Teneriffe, Exmouth, Devon, Esq. Nov 17. Gamlen & Co, Gray's inn sq
DAWSON, ELIZABETH, Davenport green, Wilmslow, Chester. Nov 10. Howard Goulty, Manchester
ELGER, JOHN, Lewes crsnt, Brighton, Esq. Nov 30. Burgoynes & Co, Oxford st
ELSE, JOHN, Old Normanton, Derby, Gent. Nov 24. J. & W. H. Sale & Mills, Derby
HALL, FRANCES, Cobourg st, Leeds. Nov 23. Cranswick, Leeds
JONES, MARY, Elsham rd, Kensington. Nov 5. Bell & Co, Lincoln's inn fields
KEEFERD, JOHN ANDERTON, Victoria rd, Tranmere, Chester, Surveyor in H.M. Customs. Nov 19. Whitley & Co, Liverpool
LITTLEBOY, JOHN EELES, Hunton Bridge, Abbot's Langley, Herts, Corn Miller. Oct 31. W. B. & W. R. Bull, Newport Pagnell
NEWTON, SARAH, Barton upon Humber, Lincoln. Nov 10. H. E. & R. Mason, Barton upon Humber
OGDEN, THOMAS, Cliff Mound, Higher Broughton, Manchester. Nov 30. Farrar & Hall, Manchester
PAICE, HENRY SHEPHERD, Chesterfield villas, Ramsgate, Esq. Nov 10. Paice, Staple inn
POULTON, THOMAS, Mount Vernon rd, Liverpool, Plasterer. Nov 20. Hore & Co, Liverpool
PRESTON, CHRISTOPHER, Davenport Green, Wilmslow, Chester, Retired Machine Broker. Nov 13. Howard Goulty, Manchester
RAINE, WILLIAM, Darlington, Solicitor. Nov 10. Willan & Cadle, Darlington
RICHARD, HENRY, Bolton gardens, Kensington, Esq., M.P. Nov 20. Shepheards, Finsbury circus
SHACKELL, ROBERT, Thanet st, St Pancras, Cab Proprietor. Nov 9. Watson, Southampton bldgs
SIMPSON, JAMES, Heatley, nr Warrington, Chester, Farmer. Nov. 1. Simpson & Simpson, Heatley
WRIGHT, MATTHEW, Darley Dale, Derby, Coal Merchant. Nov 3. Potter, Matlock Bridge

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Oct. 5.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ALEXANDER, WILLIAM WILSON, Martin's lane, Machinery Merchant High Court Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
BALME, JESSE, and SIDNEY BALME, Mixenden, nr Halifax, Worsted Spinners Halifax Pet Oct 3 Ord Sept 29
BARNES, SUSAN, Melcombe Regis, Innkeeper Dorchester Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
BATEMAN, ROBERT, Bradford, Soap Agent Bradford Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
BERTIN, SAMUEL, Curtain rd, Shoreditch, Publican High Court Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
BOSS, ISAAC, Commercial rd, East, Goods Importer High Court Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
BRAID, A. E., Manresa rd, Chelsea, Builder High Court Pet Sept 4 Ord Oct 2
BRITTON, PERCY WILSON, Rathbone place, Oxford st, Restaurant Manager High Court Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
BROOKS, ANDREW BRADLEY, Fulwood, nr Preston, Spade Maker Preston Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
BROWN, HENRY, Nottingham, Stonemason Nottingham Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
COBB, GEORGE, jun, Horham, Suffolk, Farm Bailiff Ipswich Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
COLLINS, JAMES, Leigh, Lancs, Newsagent Bolton Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
COPPARD, GEORGE, Monkwell st, Manufacturers' Agent High Court Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
DENNEY, THOMAS, Rugby, Tailor Coventry Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
DUDLEY, JOSEPH, Dudley, Worcestershire, Licensed Victualler Dudley Pet Sept 27 Ord Sept 27
DUFFY, JAMES, South Bank, Yorks, Tailor Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
EVANS, JOHN RICHARD, Oxford, Bookseller Oxford Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
FLAXMAN, MARK, Haddiscoe, Norfolk, Market Gardener Gt Yarmouth Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
GILBERT, JAMES, Grove st, Deptford, Slaughterman Greenwich Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
GILLETT, THOMAS, Lytham, Lancs, Saddler Preston Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
GRAIN, JOHN P., Outer Temple High Court Pet June 7 Ord Oct 3
GRICE, GEORGE THOMAS, Teignmouth, Confectioner Exeter Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
HALLAS, THOMAS, Kirkgate, Wakefield, Grocer Wakefield Pet Sept 28 Ord Sept 28
HAYES, HENRY, Burton on Trent, Fruiterer Burton on Trent Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
HISCOX, JOHN FRANCIS, Topsham, Devon, Shirt Maker Exeter Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
HITT, CHARLES, Brixton rd, Provision Dealer High Court Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 29
HONEYCHURCH, HENRY, and JAMES RICHARD MITCHELMORE, Southampton, Builders Southampton Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
HUTCHISON, JAMES, Eastbourne, Fly Driver Eastbourne and Lewes Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
JONES, RICHARD, Newport, Mon, Tobacconist Newport, Mon Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
JULIER, GEORGE, St George st, Saint George in the East, Mineral Water Manufacturer High Court Pet Sept 5 Ord Oct 3
NUNN, FREDERICK, Scarborough, Photographer Scarborough Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
PARKER, HENRY, and LOUIS CASTIGLIONE, Aston, Electro Platers Birmingham Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
POUNTNEY, BENJAMIN, Handsworth, Stafford, Brewer Birmingham Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
RICHARDS, WILLIAM, Glynneath, nr Neath, Manager of Aberpergwm Collieries Neath Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
ROSE, CHARLES, Thomas rd, Finsbury Park, Fishmonger High Court Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 29
ROWLAND, R., Meon rd, Acton, Builder Brentford Pet Aug 10 Ord Oct 2
RYLATT, TOM HOPKINS, Halifax, Inland Revenue Officer Halifax Pet Oct 2 Ord Ord Oct 2
SANDLING, GEORGE PRICE, Cirencester, Greengrocer Swindon Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
SMITH, BENJAMIN, Arnold, Nottingham, Stationer Nottingham Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
STOREY, JOHN, Newcastle on Tyne, Builder Newcastle on Tyne Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
TATE, CHARLES WILLIAM, Tynemouth, Late Innkeeper Newcastle on Tyne Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
TAYLOR, ALFRED, Manchester, Ink Manufacturer Manchester Pet Sept 4 Ord Oct 3
TAYLOR, EDWARD, Crewe, Joiner Nantwich and Crewe Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
TAYLOR, HENRY, Landport, Furniture Maker Portsmouth Pet Sept 29 Ord Sept 29
TESTER, T. W., Gordon rd, Peckham, Builder High Court Pet Sept 17 Ord Oct 1
UNDERDOWN, GEORGE, Petersfield, Ironmonger Portsmouth Pet Sept 29 Ord Sept 29
WALTON, ROBERT JOHN, Doncaster, out of business Sheffield Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1
WHITE, JAMES, Norwich, Grocer Norwich Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2
WILSON, THOMAS HENRY, Haltwhistle, Northumberland, Innkeeper Carlisle Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette, Sept 28.

CADEL, GEORGE, Dorchester, Farmer Oxford Pet Sept 26 Ord Sept 26

FIRST MEETINGS.

BALME, JESSE, and SIDNEY BALME, Mixenden, nr Halifax, Worsted Spinners Oct 13 at 11 Off Rec, Halifax
BANNISTER, FRANCIS, Bournemouth, Solicitor Oct 12 at 12 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
BATEMAN, ROBERT, Bradford, Soap Agent Oct 15 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
BENNETT, WILLIAM, Old Swan, nr Liverpool, Ironfounder Oct 15 at 5 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
BOWCOCK, ALFRED, Warrington, Butcher Oct 19 at 11.30 Courthouse, Old Bank st, Warrington
BROOKS, ANDREW BRADLEY, Fulwood, nr Preston, Spade Maker Oct 15 at 3 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
BROWN, HENRY JOHN, and EDWARD FRANCIS BROWN, Sheffield, Jewellers Oct 17 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
BROWN, SETH, Aylestone Park, Leicestershire, Builder Oct 12 at 12.30 Off Rec, 28, Friar lane, Leicester
CADEL, GEORGE, Dorchester, Farmer Oct 17 at 11.15 1, St Aldates, Oxford
CLACKSTON, JOHN JAMES, Kingston upon Hull, Smackowner Oct 13 at 11 Off Rec, Trinity House lane, Hull
CLARK, CHARLES FRANCIS GEORGE, jun, Dudley, Chemist Oct 15 at 10 Dudley Arms Hotel, Dudley
COLLINS, JAMES, Leigh, Lancashire, Newsagent Oct 15 at 11 16, Wood st, Bolton
CROOME, LANCELOT, Lower rd, Rotherhithe, Clerk Oct 12 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
DAVIES, JOHN, Hereford, Licensed Victualler Oct 16 at 2.30 2, Offa st, Hereford
DUDLEY, JOSEPH, Dudley, Licensed Victualler Oct 6 at 10.30 Off Rec, Dudley
EVANS, JOSEPH HENRY, Ynysybwl, Glamorganshire, Grocer Oct 12 at 12 Off Rec, Merthyr Tydfil
FLAXMAN, MARK, Haddiscoe, Norfolk, Gardener Oct 13 at 1 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
FLETCHER, EDWARD JOHN WOOD, Nottingham, Milliner Oct 12 at 3.30 Off Rec, 1, High pavement, Nottingham
GIBSON, CHARLES EDWARD THORNTON, Lyndhurst, Gent Oct 12 at 11 Off Rec, East st, Southampton
GILLETT, THOMAS, Lytham, Lancs, Saddler Oct 16 at 3 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
GRAY, JOHN WILLIAM, Dudley, Confectioner Oct 16 at 10 Off Rec, Dudley
HALLAS, THOMAS, Kirkgate, Wakefield, Grocer Oct 12 at 11 Off Rec, Bond terr, Wakefield
HONEYCHURCH, HENRY, and JAMES RICHARD MITCHELMORE, Southampton, Builders Oct 15 at 11 Off Rec, 4, East st, Southampton
LENG, THOMAS, Stockton on Tees, Flour Merchant Oct 23 at 11.30 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
LLOYD, GEORGE, Coventry, Hosier Oct 15 at 11.30 E T Peirson, Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
MCLACHLAN, DONALD, Liverpool, Tailor Oct 16 at 3 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
NANCE, HORATIO HAMBLY, Canton, Cardiff, Shipbroker Oct 15 at 3 Off Rec, 29, Queen st, Cardiff
PHIPPS, FILMER, Upper Thames st, Paper Maker Oct 12 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Lincoln's inn
PRICEMAN, ISAAC, and MAX HARRIS, Leeds, Clothiers Oct 15 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
QUINNELL, WILLIAM, Reigate, Sussex, Blacksmith Oct 15 at 12 Angel Hotel, Midhurst
ROBERTSON, CHARLES FREDERICK, Swindon, Saddler Oct 15 at 11 Henry C. Tombs, Off Rec, 32, High st, Swindon
ROCK, WILLIAM, Grantham, General Dealer Oct 12 at 11 Off Rec, 1, High pavement, Nottingham
RYLATT, TOM HOPKINS, Halifax, Inland Revenue Officer Oct 13 at 3 Off Rec, Halifax
SANDLING, GEORGE PRICE, Cirencester, Greengrocer Oct 15 at 11.30 Henry C. Tombs, Off Rec, 32, High st, Swindon
SMITH, FREDERICK WILLIAM, and HERBERT INGRAM SMITH, Newark, Grocers, Oct 12 at 12 Off Rec, 1, High pavement, Nottingham
SPINK, THOMAS, Stockton on Tees, Soda Water Manufacturer Oct 23 at 11 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
STOREY, JOHN, Newcastle on Tyne, Builder Oct 13 at 10.30 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
SUMNER, OSWALD, Oldham, Traveller Oct 16 at 10.30 Off Rec, Priory chmbrs, Union st, Oldham
TAMPLIN, EDWARD, and EDWARD WILLIAM TAMPLIN, Llantrissant, Glamorgan, Cattle Dealers Oct 23 at 11.30 Court house, Pontypridd
TATE, CHARLES WILLIAM, Tynemouth, Late Innkeeper Oct 15 at 11 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
TILDESLEY, MATTHEW HERBERT, and THOMAS PARDOE, Willenhall, Builders Oct 16 at 12 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
WELCH, HENRY, Abbott rd, Poplar, Baker Oct 12 at 11 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
WHITE, JAMES, Norwich, Grocer Oct 15 at 3.30 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
WHITELEY, EDWARD, Shrewsbury, Butcher Oct 15 at 11.45 Law Society, Talbot chmbrs, Shrewsbury
WILKES, EDWARD, Perry Barr, Stafford, Clerk Oct 16 at 11 25, Colmore row, Birmingham
WILLIAMS, JOHN, St Pierre Farm, nr Chepstow, Farm Bailiff Oct 15 at 12 Off Rec, 12, Tredegar pl, Newport, Mon
ZACKRISSKI, JACOB, Manchester, Waterproof Garment Manufacturer Oct 15 at 11 Off Rec, Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester

ADJUDICATIONS.

ALEXANDER, WILLIAM WILSON, Martin's lane, Machinery Merchant High Court Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3

BALME, JESSE, and SIDNEY BALME, Mixenden, nr Halifax, Worsted Spinner Halifax Pet Sept 29 Ord Oct 3

BATEMAN, ROBERT, Bradford, Soap Agent Bradford Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

BAUMANN, L., Hyde Park sq High Court Pet July 28 Ord Oct 2

BEETIN, SAMUEL, Curtain rd, Shoreditch, Publican High Court Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2

BROOKS, ANDREW BRADLEY, Preston, Spade Maker Preston Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

CHICK, CHARLES KING, Taunton, Hatter Taunton Pet Sept 18 Ord Oct 3

CLARKSON, NATHANIEL, Bradford, Hay Dealer Bradford Pet Sept 6 Ord Oct 3

COBB, GEORGE, jun, Horham, Suffolk, Farm Bailiff Ipswich Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 3

COLLINS, JAMES, Leigh, Lancs, News Agent Bolton Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

COPPARD, GEORGE, Monkwell st, Manufacturers' Agent High Court Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

COWGILL, JOHN, Huddersfield, Printer Huddersfield Pet Sept 18 Ord Oct 3

DUDLEY, JOSEPH, Dudley, Licensed Victualler Dudley Pet Sept 27 Ord Sept 27

DUFFY, JAMES South Bank Yorks, Tailor Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

FLAXMAN, MARK, Haddiscoe, Norfolk, Gardener Gt Yarmouth Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2

GILBERT, JAMES, Deptford, Slaughterman Greenwich Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

GILLETT, THOMAS, Lytham, Lanc, Saddler Preston Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2

GORDON, RALPH JAMES FORBES LEITH, Tenby, Licensed Victualler Pembroke Dock Pet Sept 22 Ord Oct 3

GRICE, GEORGE THOMAS, Teignmouth, Confectioner Exeter Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

HALLAS, THOMAS, Kirkgate, Wakefield, Grocer, Wakefield Pet Sept 28 Ord Oct 3

HISCOX, JOHN FRANCIS, Topsham, Devon, Shirtmaker Exeter Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3

JAMES, LUKE, Watford, Butcher St Albans Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 29

MAYHEW, GEORGE, Old Newton, Suffolk, Farmer Bury St Edmunds Pet July 28 Ord Sept 29

MOUNTFORD, JAMES ANDREW, Birmingham, Builder Birmingham Pet Sept 27 Ord Oct 1

NUNN, FREDERICK, Scarborough, Photographer Scarborough Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

RICHARDS, WILLIAM, Glynneath, nr Neath, Manager of Aberpergwm Collieries Neath Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3

ROBERTSON, HENRY, Battersea pk rd, Confectioner Wandsworth Pet Sept 19 Ord Sept 29

ROBINSON, HENRY JOHN, Derby, Joiner Derby Pet Sept 10 Ord Oct 3

ROCK, WILLIAM, Grantham, General Dealer Nottingham Pet Sept 11 Ord Oct 3

ROWLAND, ELIZABETH, Alexander terr, Woodbury Town, Tottenham, Lodging House Keeper High Court Pet Sept 7 Ord Oct 2

SANDLING, GEORGE PRICE, Cirencester, Glos, Greengrocer Swindon Pet Sept 29 Ord Oct 1

TAYLOR, EDWARD, Crewe, Joiner Nantwich and Crewe Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

TAYLOR, HENRY, Landport, Furniture Maker Portsmouth Pet Sept 29 Ord Sept 29

TINDALE, MICHAEL, Pittington, Durham, Farmer Durham Pet Sept 26 Ord Sept 29

TRACE, GEORGE, Elgin ter, Maida Vale, Private Inquiry Agent High Court Pet Aug 4 Ord Oct 2

TRIGGS, HENRY WALTER, Bishopsgate st Without, Tobacconist High Court Pet Sept 25 Ord Oct 2

WALKER, FREDERICK, Nottingham, Seedsman Nottingham Pet Sept 17 Ord Oct 3

WALTON, ROBERT JOHN, Doncaster, out of business Sheffield Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

WHITE, JAMES, Norwich, Grocer Norwich Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 2

WILLIAMS, JOSEPH ELLIS, West Brompton, Metal Casement Maker High Court Pet Aug 1 Ord Oct 2

WILLOCK, BENJAMIN, Tamworth, Staffs, Baker Birmingham Pet Sept 27 Ord Oct 3

WILSON, THOMAS HENRY, Haltwhistle, Innkeeper Carlisle Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Oct. 9.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ANDERSON, MARY ANN, Plymouth, Fish Dealer East Stonehouse Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

ATKINSON, JAMES, Leeds, Paper Merchant Leeds Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

BENNETT, SAMUEL, Leicester, Tailor Leicester Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 6

COLE, ROBERT, Isle of Wight, Bricklayer Newport and Ryde Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 6

COLLINGS, CHARLES HENRY, Burton on Trent, Gent Burton on Trent Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

COOPER, THOMAS, Tiverton, Congregational Minister Exeter Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

DEWS, WILLIAM HENRY, Castleford, Yorks, Licensed Victualler Wakefield Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

EDWARDS, WILLIAM, Llanthetty, Brecknockshire, Timber Merchant Merthyr Tydfil Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3

EVERATT, CUTHBERT, South Shields, Bookseller Newcastle on Tyne Pet Sept 13 Ord Oct 4

FAWKES, THOMAS, Leonard Stanley, Glouces, Farmer Gloucester Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

GEORGE, EDWARD, Goldington, Beds, Farmer Bedford Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

GRAHAME, CHARLES JAMES, Waterloo pl, Pall Mall, Stockbroker High Court Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

HALL, WILLIAM, Perry, Hunts, Grocer Bedford Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 6

HILL, WILLIAM, Morebath, Devon, Farmer Exeter Pet Sept 22 Ord Oct 6

HILLMAN, SYDNEY DAVY, Walthamstow, Essex, Clerk High Court Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 4

HILLS, GEORGE, Tufnell pk rd, Holloway, Tailor's Assistant High Court Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 4

HULKS, DAVID, Kingston rd, Wimbledon, Provision Dealer Kingston, Surrey Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

HUTTON, WILLIAM JAMES SIDDLE, Kingston upon Hull, Fruit Merchant Kingston upon Hull Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

HYDE, EDWARD HILL, Brighton, Decorator Brighton Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 6

JONES, ERNEST, Porchester rd, Bayswater, Builder High Court Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

JONES, WILLIAM, Glamorgan, Builder Pontypridd Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

JUDD, WALTER JAMES, Belgrave, Leicester, Boot Factor Leicester Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 1

KNIGHT, ARTHUR, Hinckley, Grocer Leicester Pet Sept 14 Ord Oct 2

MARCROFT, WILLIAM, Southport, Glass Dealer Liverpool Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

MITCHELL, ROBERT, Newcastle on Tyne, Publican Newcastle on Tyne Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 6

MOREWOOD, JOHN NEWTON, Sheffield, Grocer Sheffield Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

MORGAN, EDWARD, and CHARLES WILLIAM EDWARD THUMWOOD, Kennington Park rd, Kennington, Travellers High Court Pet Sept 21 Ord Oct 6

OWEN, WILLIAM, Llangefni, Anglesey, Carrier Bangor Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

PARSONS, ALFRED, and WILLIAM PARSONS, alias WILLIAM SARGENT, in Prison, General Drapers Wolverhampton Pet Aug 22 Ord Oct 5

PEARSE, W F, Whittingstall rd, Fulham, Gent High Court Pet July 26 Ord Oct 5

RYDER, JOHN FRANCIS, Stoke Devonport, Chief Engineer in Navy East Stonehouse Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

SANDERS, WILLIAM HENRY, Smethwick, Staffordshire, Butcher Oldbury Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4

SHEPHERD, JOSEPH HERBERT, Cheltenham, Poulterer Cheltenham Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

SOUTHALL, ALFRED, Manchester, Boot Dealer Manchester Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

ST. CLAIR, GEORGE EDWARD MORLAND, Sheffield, Cutlery Manufacturer Sheffield Pet Sept 14 Ord Oct 4

TARR, JAMES, Swansea, Cabinet Maker Swansea Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

WILLIAMS, FREDERICK ISAAC, Cononley, Yorks, Commission Agent Bradford Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

FIRST MEETINGS.

AMANS, EDWARD, Eaton, Buckinghamshire, Watchmaker Oct 17 at 3 109, Victoria st, Westminster

BARNES SUSAN, Melcombe Regis, Innkeeper Oct 16 at 12.30 Royal Hotel, Weymouth

BRETT, MARY, Gainsborough, Boot Dealer Oct 18 at 12.30 Off Rec, 31, Silver st, Lincoln

BROWN, HENRY, Nottingham, Stonemason Oct 17 at 11 Off Rec, 1, High pavement, Nottingham

BUSHELL, ELEANOR JOYCE, and CHARLES ALFRED DOGGETT, Hop Exchange, Borough, Hop Merchants Oct 16 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

CLARK, ROBERT JAMES, 9, Friar st, Worcester, Ironmonger Oct 20 at 11 Off Rec, Worcester

CLARKE, ALFRED FREDERICK, Lincoln, Fruiterer Oct 18 at 12 Off Rec, 31, Silver st, Lincoln

COHEN, LEVY, High st, Islington, Clothier Oct 16 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

COOPER, THOMAS, Tiverton, Congregational Minister Oct 18 at 11 Castle of Exeter, Exeter

CURRALL, WILLIAM, Atherstone, Warwickshire, Grocer Oct 17 at 11 25, Colmore row, Birmingham

DENEUBOURG, MARY ANN, Lancaster rd, Notting hill, China Dealer Oct 16 at 11 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn

DENFRY, THOMAS, Rugby, Tailor Oct 22 at 12 E. T. Peirson, Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry

DEWS, WILLIAM HENRY, Castleford, York, Licensed Victualler Oct 16 at 11 Off Rec, Bond terr, Wakefield

DUFFY, JAMES, South Bank, Yorks, Tailor Oct 23 at 11.15 Off Rec, Albert rd, Middlesborough

EVERATT, CUTHBERT, South Shields, Bookseller Oct 18 at 2.30 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne

FAIRE, GEORGE ROBERT, Leicester, Boot Manufacturer Oct 16 at 3 28, Friar lane, Leicester

FAWKES, THOMAS, Leonard Stanley, Gloucester, Farmer Oct 18 at 4 Imperial Hotel, Stroud

GIBSON, WILLIAM, Liverpool, Grocer Oct 17 at 3 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool

GRICE, GEORGE THOMAS, Teignmouth, Confectioner Oct 22 at 3 Castle of Exeter at Exeter

GROGAN, EDWARD, Cardiff, Clerk Oct 18 at 3 Off Rec, 29, Queen st, Cardiff

HANNAM, THOMPSON, Leeds, Innkeeper Oct 17 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds

HAYES, HENRY, Burton on Trent, Fruiterer Oct 16 at 3 White Hart Hotel, Burton on Trent

HENDERSON, EDWARD PERCY, Whitwick, Leicester, Draper Oct 17 at 3 28, Friar lane, Leicester

HISCOX, JOHN FRANCIS, Exeter, Shirtmaker Oct 17 at 11 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circus, Exeter

HUMBY, JAMES, Camden rd, Mining Agent Oct 18 at 12 Bankruptcy bldngs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

JONES, RICHARD, Newport, Mon, Tobacconist Oct 17 at 12 Off Rec, 12, Tredegar pl, Newport, Mon

JUDD, WALTER JAMES, Leicester, Boot Factor Oct 16 at 12.30 28, Friar lane, Leicester

MATTHEWS, WILLIAM MARTIN, Dodbrooke, nr Kingsbridge, Devon, Corn Factor Oct 16 at 2.30 10, Athenæum ter, Plymouth

MCCAUL, WILLIAM ALEXANDER, Leather lane, Holborn, Ironmonger Oct 16 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

MITCHELL, ROBERT, Newcastle on Tyne, Publican Oct 20 at 10.30 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne

MOUNTFORD, JAMES ANDREW, Birmingham, Builder Oct 19 at 3 25, Colmore row, Birmingham

PAULGER, HENRY, Heighington, Lincs, Machinist Oct 18 at 12 Off Rec, 31, Silver st, Lincoln

SCHMIDT, MARIE, York pl, Baker st, Lodging House Keeper Oct 18 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

SMITH, BENJAMIN, Arnold, Notts, Stationer Oct 17 at 12 Off Rec, 1, High pavement, Nottingham

SMITH, GEORGE WILLIAM, Old Bond st, Jeweller Oct 17 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

STOVOLD, ARTHUR CHARLES, Kentish Corner, Tunbridge Wells, Tailor Oct 17 at 12.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields

TAYLOR, HENRY, Landport, Furniture Maker Oct 22 at 4 166, Queen st, Portsea

THOMSON, THOMAS, Lausanne rd, Peckham, Draper Oct 18 at 11 Bankruptcy bldngs, Lincoln's inn

TINDALE, MICHAEL, Pittington, Durham, Farmer Oct 16 at 4 Three Tuns Hotel, Durham

TRAPNELL, RICHARD JAMES, Liverpool, Butcher Oct 19 at 2 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool

UNDERDOWN, GEORGE, Petersfield, Ironmonger Oct 22 at 3 166, Queen street, Portsea

WALTON, ROBERT JOHN, Doncaster, out of business Oct 18 at 2.30 Off Rec, Figtree lane, Sheffield

WARBURTON, ALFRED, Doncaster, Licensed Victualler Oct 18 at 3 Off Rec, Figtree lane, Sheffield

WILLIAMS, FREDERICK ISAAC, Cononley, Yorks, Commission Agent Oct 18 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford

WILLIS, CHARLES, Pontycymmer, Glamorganshire, Painter Oct 19 at 2.30 Off Rec, 29, Queen st, Cardiff
WILSON, THOMAS HENRY, Haltwhistle, Innkeeper Oct 17 at 1 Off Rec, 34, Fisher st, Carlisle

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Oct. 5.

BROWN, HENRY JOHN, and EDWARD FRANCIS BROWN, Sheffield, Jewellers Oct 17 at 12 Bankruptcy bldngs, Lincoln's inn

ADJUDICATIONS.

ADOLPH, GEORGE, Landor rd, Shepherd's Bush, Furniture Dealer High Court Pet Aug 11 Ord Oct 6
ANDERSON, MARY ANN, Plymouth, Fish Dealer East Stonehouse Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 5
ATKINSON, JAMES, Leeds, Paper Merchant Leeds Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4
BARNES, SUSAN, Melcombe Regis, Innkeeper Dorchester Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 4
BROWN, HENRY, Nottingham, Stonemason Nottingham Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 6
CAMPBELL, CHARLES WILLIS, Southampton, Hay Merchant Southampton Pet Sept 10 Ord Oct 4
COOPER, THOMAS, Tiverton, Devon, Congregational Minister Exeter Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 5
DENEUBOURG, MARY ANN, Lancaster rd, Notting hill, China Dealer High Court Pet Sept 20 Ord Oct 6
DEWS, WILLIAM HENRY, Castleford, Yorks, Licensed Victualler Wakefield Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4
EDWARDS, WILLIAM, Llanthetty, Brecknockshire, Timber Merchant Merthyr Tydfil Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 3
FAWKES, THOMAS, Leonard Stanley, Gloucestershire, Farmer Gloucester Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4
FLETCHER, EDWARD JOHN WOOD, Nottingham, Milliner Nottingham Pet Sept 28 Ord Oct 6
GEORGE, EDWARD, Goldington, Bedfordshire, Farmer Bedford Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
GOGLE, JOHN, Besthorpe, Norfolk, Farmer Norwich Pet Sept 22 Ord Oct 3
GRAHAME, CHARLES JAMES, Waterloo pl, Pall Mall, Stockbroker High Court Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 4
HALL, WILLIAM, Perry, Huntingdonshire, Grocer Bedford Pet Oct 6 Ord Oct 6
HEYWARD, GEORGE ARTHUR, Salford, Estate Agent Salford Pet Aug 15 Ord Oct 5
HILLMAN, SYDNEY DAVY, Walthamstow, Clerk High Court Pet Oct 3 Ord Oct 6
HUNTER, THOMAS, Telford park Streatham hill, Gent Wandsworth Pet Aug 23 Ord Oct 4
HUTCHISON, JAMES, Eastbourne, Fly Driver Eastbourne and Lewes Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 5
HUTTON, WILLIAM JAMES SIDDLE, Kingston on Hull, Fruit Merchant Kingston on Hull Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
JONES, RICHARD, Newport, Mon, Tobacconist Newport Pet Oct 2 Ord Oct 4
KERBY, HENRY, Huntley st, Bedford sq, Upholsterer High Court Pet Sept 26 Ord Oct 6
LIDDINGTON, THOMAS JAMES, Tring, Herts, Miller Aylesbury Pet Sept 1 Ord Oct 4
LLOYD, GEORGE, Coventry, Hosier Coventry Pet Sept 25 Ord Oct 4
MARCROFT, WILLIAM, Southport, Glass Dealer Liverpool Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 5
MOREWOOD, JOHN NEWTON, Sheffield, Grocer Sheffield Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
MORGAN, EDWARD, and CHARLES WILLIAM EDWARD THUMWOOD, Kennington pk rd, Kennington, Travellers High Court Pet Sept 21 Ord Oct 6
MORRIS, TOM SLATER, Sheffield, Joiner Sheffield Pet Sept 8 Ord Oct 5
OWEN, WILLIAM, Amlwch, Anglesey, Licensed Victualler Bangor Ord Sept 25 Ord Oct 6
OWEN, WILLIAM, Llangefni, Anglesey, Carrier Bangor Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
ROBERTSON, CHARLES FREDERICK, Swindon, Saddler Swindon Pet Sept 6 Ord Oct 3
ROSE, CHARLES, St Thomas' rd, Finsbury pk, Fishmonger High Court Pet Sept 5 Ord Oct 5
ROWLAND, R., Meon rd, Acton, Builder Brentford Pet Aug 10 Ord Oct 5
SAGE, DAVID, Brentwood, Essex, Coal Merchant Chelmsford Pet Sept 24 Ord Sept 29
SANDERS, WILLIAM HENRY, Smethwick, Staffordshire, Butcher Oldbury Pet Oct 4 Ord Oct 6
SHEPHERD, JOSEPH HERBERT, Cheltenham, Poulterer Cheltenham Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
SMITH, H. KINCAID, Claremont rd, St Margaret's, Twickenham, Gent Brentford Pet May 11 Ord Oct 5
SOUTHALL, ALFRED, Manchester, Boot Dealer Manchester Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
STOREY, JOHN, Newcastle on Tyne, Builder Newcastle on Tyne Pet Oct 1 Ord Oct 4
TARR, JAMES, Swansea, Cabinet Maker Swansea Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5
TRAPNELL, RICHARD JAMES, Liverpool, Butcher Liverpool Pet Sept 12 Ord Oct 5
WILKES, EDWARD, Perry Barr, Staffordshire, Rental Clerk Birmingham Pet Sept 29 Ord Oct 4
WILLIAMS, FREDERICK ISAAC, Cononley, Yorks, Commission Agent Bradford Pet Oct 5 Ord Oct 5

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

BIRTHS.

KER.—Oct. 4, at Castletown-road, West Kensington, the wife of Walter C. A. Ker, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.
WHEELER.—Oct. 1, at South Norwood, the wife of Percy F. Wheeler, barrister-at-law, of a son.

MARRIAGE.

KITTERMASTER—PARDEE.—Sept. 13, at Sarnia, Canada West, F. W. Kittermaster, barrister, to Louie Helen, daughter of the Hon. T. B. Pardee.

DEATH.

VENABLES.—Oct. 6, at Curzon-street, George Stovin Venables, Q.C., of Llysdinan, Breconshire, aged 78.

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS 783
POSSESSORY TITLES 784
THE RESULTS OF THE JUDICIAL STATISTICS 786
CORRESPONDENCE 781
LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL 787
LEGAL NEWS 788
COURT PAPERS 789
WINDING-UP NOTICES 790
CREDITORS' NOTICES 790
BANKRUPTCY NOTICES 791
PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES.

The Subscription to the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL *is—Town, 26s.; Country, 28s.; with the* WEEKLY REPORTER, *52s. Payment in advance includes Double Numbers and Postage. Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s. 6d.*

DUNN & DUNCAN,
Law and Commercial Stationers,
87, CHANCERY LANE, W.C.,
PUBLISHERS OF THE
LAW AND COMMERCIAL
DAILY REMEMBRANCER FOR 1889
(Sixty-eighth Year of Publication),
CONTAINING
An Analysis of the Statutes of Last Session, a Digest of Stamp Acts, Penalties, &c., as varied by the new Act, and much other Information, and Diary for the Year.
Price, 3s. to 24s.

FREEHOLD GROUND-RENTS.—A compact Estate within 5 miles of the Bank of England to be Sold in its entirety; purchase-money about £40,000; particulars to Solicitors and Principals only.—Mr. MONTAGU HOLMES, Land Agent, 66A, Paternoster-row, E.C.

FREEHOLD GROUND-RENTS, with early reversion in about 50 years to £160 a year (shop property), £20 per annum, eight times secured (near Archer-street), price £570, paying 3½ per cent. apart from the reversion; also £119 2s. per annum, on Wimbledon-hill, reversion in 63 years to about £1,000 per annum, price £3,980; £30, at Holloway, with reversion to about £400 a year, and two building frontages, in 40 years, price £2,000; and £120 in a fully-licensed tavern and private house adjoining, in Kennington-lane, reversion in about 27 years, price £3,000.—Messrs. WOODS, Central London Ground-rent Offices, 13, Newgate-street.

WANTED, £5,000 (or half the amount of Valuation will be accepted) on mortgage of estate in Colonies; seven per cent. interest guaranteed by a London firm.—Apply, Mr. AYERS, 31, Kemble-road, Forest-hill, S.E.

EDE AND SON,
ROBE MAKERS,
BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,
To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.
ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS.
SOLICITORS' GOWNS.
Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.
CORPORATION ROBES, UNIVERSITY AND CLERGY GOWNS.
ESTABLISHED 1689.
94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

LAW.—London Solicitor, about to rearrange his Staff, highly recommends his present Managing Clerk; he was admitted in 1883, is thoroughly conversant with Chancery, Common Law, Divorce, and General Practice, in drawing and settling costs for taxation or otherwise, and competent to conduct contentious business without supervision, or would undertake the management of a London Office for a Country Firm; hard worker and highest references for ability and character; salary moderate.—Address, L. M., "Solicitors' Journal" Office, 27, Chancery-lane W.C.

BILLS of COSTS settled by experienced Draftsman and Compiler of a well-known Book on Costs on moderate terms; thorough knowledge of Practice, Chancery Taxations, &c.; bills settled from papers.—Apply, W., 24, Birdhurst-road, Wandsworth.

IF you want Money without fees—amounts £10 to £1,000—before applying elsewhere see Mr. O. CLIBURN, personally if possible, 43, Great Tower-street.

TO SOLICITORS and to SUFFERERS from Smoke and Soot from Railway Engines.—Combination desired.—Apply, A. B., care of Perks & Co., Chemists, Hitchin.

London Gazette.
Advertisements can be received at these Offices for the current Gazette without Expedition Fees until 1.15 p.m. on
Mondays and Thursdays.
GOVERNMENT EXPEDITION FEES
(ON LATE ADVERTISEMENTS).
Mondays and Thursdays ... to 4.15 p.m. 5s.
Tuesdays and Fridays ... ... ,, 11.15 a.m. 10s.
,, ,, ... ,, 1.15 p.m. 20s.
REYNELL & SON,
"London Gazette" and General Advertising Contractors,
44, CHANCERY LANE, W.C.
(Opposite Lincoln's Inn Gateway).
ESTABLISHED BY THE LATE GEO. REYNELL IN 1812.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, LONDON.
LAW CLASSES.
These Classes are specially adapted for Students preparing for the LL.B. Degree in the University of London and for the Indian Civil Service Examinations.
Jurisprudence and Indian Law—Prof. A. HENRY, M.A., LL.B.
Constitutional Law and History—Prof. T. E. SCRUTTON, M.A., LL.B.
Roman Law—Prof. A. F. MURISON, M.A.
Prospectuses may be obtained from the College, Gower-street, W.C.
J. M. HORSBURGH, M.A., Secretary.

MORTGAGES.
MESSRS. BELL WILLIAMS, SON, & CO., Land Agents, 40, North John-street, Liverpool (established 40 years), will be glad to forward to Solicitors, Trustees, and others who may have funds waiting investment particulars of some good Freehold and Leasehold Securities with interest at 4½ to 5 per cent.

SOLICITORS' BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION.

INSTITUTED 1858.

For the Relief of Poor and Necessitous Solicitors and Proctors in England and Wales, and their Wives, Widows, and Families.

The SIXTY-FIRST HALF-YEARLY GENERAL MEETING of the Members of this Association will be held in the ASSEMBLY ROOMS, NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE, on the morning of WEDNESDAY, the 17th day of OCTOBER, at Ten o'clock precisely, when the Board will present their Half-Yearly Report; Directors and Auditors will be elected for the ensuing year; and other General Business transacted.

Mr. GRINHAM KEEN will move—"That, in accordance with a recommendation of the Directors, a pension of £52 per annum be allowed to Mr. THOMAS EIFFE, late Secretary of the Association, during the pleasure of the Board."

9, Clifford's-inn, London, E.C., September 24th, 1888. JAMES THOMAS SCOTT, Secretary.

THE NATIONAL REVERSIONARY INVESTMENT COMPANY

(*Instituted* 1837),

For the Purchase of Absolute or Contingent Reversions, Life Interests, and Policies of Assurance on Lives.

OFFICE—No. 63, OLD BROAD STREET, LONDON, E.C.

ARTHUR PEMBERTON HEYWOOD LONSDALE, ESQ., CHAIRMAN.
SOLICITORS—Messrs. ILIFFES, HENLEY, & SWEET, Bedford-row.

Forms for submitting Proposals for Sale may be obtained at the Offices of the Company.
(By Order) G. A. RENDALL, SECRETARY.

SCOTTISH PROVIDENT INSTITUTION.
(ESTABLISHED 1837.)

The ADVANTAGES to Assurers are:—
A greatly LARGER ORIGINAL ASSURANCE for the Premium charged—generally as much as 20 to 25 per cent.—without sacrifice of any portion of the profits.
LARGE ADDITIONS may be expected by good lives, for whom exclusively the whole Surplus is reserved.

FAMILY SETTLEMENTS.

The system is thus specially suited for FAMILY PROVISIONS, on marriage or otherwise, by securing for the Premium paid the largest Assurance at the time when a family is most dependent.
The FUNDS exceed £6,200,000.
The Increase of Funds in the last seven years has been greater than in any Office in the Kingdom.
LOANS given on LIFE INTERESTS and REVERSIONS.
17, King William-street, LONDON, E.C.
HEAD OFFICE:—6, St. Andrew-square, EDINBURGH.

NORTHERN ASSURANCE COMPANY.
Established 1836.
LONDON: 1, Moorgate-street, E.C. ABERDEEN, 1, Union-terrace.
INCOME & FUNDS (1887):—

Fire Premiums	£607,000
Life Premiums	197,000
Interest...	141,000
Accumulated Funds	£3,421 000

IMPERIAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.
Established 1803.
1, Old Broad-street, E.C., and 22, Pall Mall, S.W.
Subscribed Capital, £1,200,000; Paid-up, £300,000.
Total Invested Funds over £1,600,000.
E. COZENS SMITH,
General Manager.

THE BRITISH LAW FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED.
Capital £1,000,000.
Subscribed Capital £500,000.
This Company is prepared to entertain proposals on eligible risks, including Mercantile Insurances. Applications for Agencies may be made to
H. FOSTER CUTLER, Manager and Secretary.
Offices, 5, Lothbury, Bank, London, E.C.

ESTABLISHED 1851.

BIRKBECK BANK.—
Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane.
THREE per CENT. INTEREST allowed on DEPOSITS, repayable on demand.
TWO per CENT. INTEREST on CURRENT ACCOUNTS calculated on the minimum monthly balances, when not drawn below £100.
The Bank undertakes for its Customers, free of Charge, the Custody of Deeds, Writings, and other Securities and Valuables; the collection of Bills of Exchange, Dividends, and Coupons; and the purchase and sale of Stocks, Shares, and Annuities. Letters of Credit and Circular Notes issued.
The BIRKBECK ALMANACK, with full particulars, post-free, on application.
FRANCIS RAVENSCROFT, Manager.

MR. H. S. BOWEN, B.A., LL.B. (First-class Honours in Common Law and Equity, London University, 1882), Author of "Outlines of Specific Performance," PREPARES for the Bar and Solicitors' EXAMINATIONS and London Law Degrees.—Address, 4, Stone-buildings, Lincoln's-inn, W.C.

RESULTS IN 1888.

Solicitors' Honours.—One of the Prizemen at the June Exam. With 2 exceptions all Mr. Bowen's pupils for the Final and Intermediate this year have passed. Bar Exams.: 10 sent up, 10 passed.

A BARRISTER-AT-LAW (LL.B., London, First in First-Class Honours in Jurisprudence and Roman Law, and Honoursman in Common Law and Equity) prepares for Legal Examinations. Tuition for the Bar, Solicitors' Intermediate and Final, 1st and 2nd LL.B., Studentship and Scholarship Examinations, privately or in class, at his Chambers. 1st and 2nd LL.B. Classes can now be joined; Correspondence Classes for the same can be joined at any time for the whole or part of the course.
Recent successes of his Pupils include that of *the 1st in 1st Class Honours Intermediate LL.B., Lond.*, 1888.
For terms, &c., apply to "JURISCONSULTUS," care of his clerk, Mr. Burnett, 9, Old-square, Lincoln's-inn.

MR. LEONARD H. WEST, LL.B., Solicitor (First Division and Honoursman, Gold Medallist, &c.), PREPARES PUPILS Orally and by Post for SOLICITORS' INTERMEDIATE and FINAL, BAR and LL.B. EXAMINATIONS (Pass and Honours).

RESULTS.

All pupils, except one, for the January Final Examination were successful, two obtaining Honours, including the NEW INN PRIZE; all for the Intermediate and all, except one, for the LL.B. Examinations. Of 8 Postal pupils 7 were successful—Commercial-buildings, Leeds.

AGENTS WANTED by the PROVIDENT ASSOCIATION of LONDON (Limited). Canvassing and Insurance Agents may considerably add to their income by representing this Association. Financial position indisputable. See Reports of leading Accountants and Actuary recently issued, and over 100 press notices.—Apply personally or by letter to Mr. A. P. MADDEN, Provident Association of London (Limited), 39, Lombard-street, E.C.

OFFICES in BEDFORD-ROW.—Ground floor (four good rooms and strong room).—Apply to E. BROMLEY, 43, Bedford-row, London, W.C.

LIGHT OFFICES.—To be Let, within 5 minutes of the Bank of England, Two Rooms on Second Floor, £50; Two rooms on Third Floor, £40 per annum.—Apply to S. WALKER & RUNTE, 22, Moorgate-street, E.C.

TO SOLICITORS, AGENTS, &c.—Four lofty Rooms (with strong room if required) to Let on ground floor of handsome corner building fronting Norfolk-street and Temple Embankment; rent, forty each; one, fifty.—Apply Mr. CAYLEY, Norfolk House, Norfolk-street.

SALES FOR THE YEAR 1888.

MESSRS. FAREBROTHER, ELLIS, CLARK, & CO. beg to announce that the following days have been fixed for their SALES during the year 1888, to be held at the Auction Mart, Tokenhouse-yard, near the Bank of England, E.C.:—

Wed, Oct 17	Wed, Nov 7	Wed, Dec 12
Wed, Oct 31	Thurs Nov 22	

Other appointments for intermediate Sales will also be arranged.
Messrs. Farebrother, Ellis, Clark, & Co. publish in the advertisement columns of "The Times" every Saturday a complete list of their forthcoming sales by auction. They also issue from time to time schedules of properties to be let or sold, comprising landed and residental estates, farms, freehold and leasehold houses, City offices and warehouses, ground rents, and investments generally, and which will be forwarded free of charge on application.—No. 29, Fleet-street, Temple Bar, and 18, Old Broad-st., E.C.

NORFOLK and CAMBRIDGE.

By direction of the Executors and Trustees of Thos. Elworthy, Esq., deceased.—Denver, Upwell, Elm, and Wisbech St. Mary.

MR. H. W. SAFFELL (in conjunction with Messrs. ELWORTHY & SON) will SELL by AUCTION, at the MART, Tokenhouse-yard, Bank, on TUESDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1888, at TWO o'clock precisely, the following valuable FREEHOLD ESTATES, comprising three compact and well-cultivated farms and two enclosures of high-class arable and pasture land, containing altogether 467a. 0r. 4p., producing an annual rental of £610, viz.:—
Lot 1.—A Farmhouse, Buildings, and 102a. 2r. 3p. of arable and pasture land, situate at Wisbech St. Mary, in the occupation of Mr. C. W. Gaskin.
Lot 2.—A Farmhouse, Buildings, Two Labourers' Cottages, and 202a. 2r. 13p. Arable and Pasture Land, situate near Nordelph, in the parish of Denver, now in the occupation of Messrs. William & Samuel Wilson.
Lot 3.—Two Enclosures of Arable and Pasture Land, containing 14a. 2r. 34p., abutting on the New Bridge Drive in Upwell, Norfolk, in the occupation of Mr. Charles Elworthy.
Lot 4.—A Farmhouse, Buildings, Two Labourers' Cottages, and 147a. 0r. 34p. of Arable and Pasture Land, situate at Low Corner, in the parishes of Upwell and Elm, in the occupation of Mr. William H. Elworthy.
Further particulars, with plans and conditions of sale, may be obtained of Mr. H. W. Saffell, Auctioneer, Suffolk-house, 5, Laurence Pountney-hill, Cannon-street, E.C.; of Messrs Elworthy & Son, Auctioneers, Upwell; and of W. J. Foster, Esq., Solicitor, 21, Birchin-lane, E.C.

SALES BY AUCTION FOR THE YEAR 1888.

MESSRS. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, FARMER, & BRIDGEWATER beg to announce that their SALES of LANDED ESTATES, Investments, Town, Suburban, and Country Houses, Business Premises, Building Land, Ground-rents, Advowsons, Reversions, Stocks, Shares, and other Properties, will be held at the Auction Mart, Tokenhouse-yard, near the Bank of England, in the City of London, as follows—

Tues., Oct 23	Tues., Nov 6	Tues., Dec 11
	Tues., Nov 20	

Auctions can also be held on other days. In order to insure proper publicity, due notice should be given. The period between such notice and the proposed auction must considerably depend upon the nature of the property to be sold. A printed scale of terms can be had at 80, Cheapside, or will be forwarded. Telephone No. 1,503.

MESSRS. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, FARMER, & BRIDGEWATER'S LIST of ESTATES and HOUSES to be SOLD or LET, including Landed Estates, Town and Country Residences, Hunting and Shooting Quarters, Farms, Ground Rents, Rent Charges, House Property and Investments generally, is published on the first day of each month, and may be obtained, free of charge, at their offices, 80, Cheapside, E.C., or will be sent by post in return for three stamps.—Particulars for insertion should be received not later than four days previous to the end of the preceding month.